1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS AND DEPARTMENT No. 57 HON. PAUL G. BRECK	ELES ENRIDGE, JR., JUDGE
3		
4	CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, )	
5	Plaintiff, )	
6	vs.	
7	GERALD ARMSTRONG,	No. C 420 153
8	Defendant. )	
9		
10	MARY SUE HUBBARD,	
11	Intervenor.	
12		
13	REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCR	IPT
14	Thursday, May 31, 198	4
15		
16	APPEARANCES:	
17	(See Appearance page)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	@@ <b>@</b> 0.7	
24	COPY	
25		
26		ARRIS, CSR #644 NNON, CSR #1923
27	Pages 3775 - 3989, incl. Official R	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For Plaintiff:	PETERSON & BRYNAN BY: JOHN G. PETERSON
4		8530 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 407 Beverly Hills, California 90211
5	For the Intervenor:	LITT & STORMER BY: BARRETT S. LITT
6		Paramount Plaza 3550 Wilshire Boulevard
7		Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90010
8		AND
9		AND
10		BARRETT S. LITT BY: MICHAEL S. MAGNUSON
11		The Oviatt Building 617 South Olive Street
12		Suite 1000
		Los Angeles, California 90014
13		AND
14		ROBERT N. HARRIS
15		The Oviatt Building 617 South Olive Street
16		Suite 915 Los Angeles, California 90014
17		
18	For the Defendant:	CONTOS & BUNCH BY: MICHAEL J. FLYNN
19		JULIA DRAGONEVIC
		5855 Topanga Canyon Boulevard Suite 400
20		Woodland Hills, California 91367
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DAY	DATE				PAG
Thursday	May 31,	, 1984	A.M. P.M.		377 388
		PROCEEDING	<u>s</u>		
REBUTTAL					377
	The state of the s				
		WITNESSES			
PLAINTIFF'S:		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECRO
YOUNG, Vaughn		3775-L	3841	3891-L	3897
MOULTON, Thoma	s S.	3901-P	3946	3976-P	
(Further)		3003 D		3982-P	
TINCH, Gene F.		3983-P			
		EXHIBITS			FOR
PLAINTIFF'S:				IDENTIF	
88 - Copy 3-pa					793
89 - Copy 4-pa	ge document	*The Great	Wall"	39	909
90 - Black and	white photo	ograph		39	909
91 - Copy 1-pa	ge document	6-8-43, Ba	ttle Repo	ort 39	75

f

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MAY 31, 1984; 9:05 A.M. 1 000 2 3 THE COURT: Very well, the record will reflect that counsel are present. 5 Mr. Litt? 6 7 MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor. Our first witness 8 will be Vaughn Young, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Very well. 10 VAUGHN YOUNG, 11 called as a witness in behalf of the plaintiff in rebuttal, 12 13 was sworn and testified as follows: 14 THE CLERK: Be seated, please. State your name 15 and spell your last name. 16 THE WITNESS: Vaughn Young, Y-o-u-n-g. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. LITT: 20 Mr. Young, what is your occupation? 21 I am a writer. A 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	Q	And are you a Scientologist?
2	Å	Yes.
3	Q	And when did you first become involved with
4	Scientology	?
5	A	1968.
6	Q	Prior to that did you have any academic
7	credentials	?
8	A	At the time I
9	Q	Had you attained any academic credentials prior
10	to that?	
11	A	I was working on my PhD at the University of
12	California	at Davis. I had obtained my Masters in Philosophy
13	at San Fran	cisco State College.
14	Q	And at some point did you go to work in the
15	Guardian's	Office?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	When was that?
18	A	In 1971.
19	Q	What was your function?
20	A	I was handling the public relations area in
21	San Prancis	co.
22	Q	And do you have any background in investigative
23	journalism?	
24	A	Yes. I have been doing it, I suppose, for about
25	13 years.	
26	Q	That was your primary function in the Guardian's
27	Office?	
28		I started actually in late '71 I began to

1	basically write and do what is commonly called today
2	investigative journalism or investigative writing.
3	Q What type of investigative journalism have you
4	done?
5	A Well, I started on something called the IRS
6	papers which are IRS documents. And I have mainly worked
7	in Federal agencies. I have done stories on drug running;
8	published a book in 1979. While that book was in progress,
9	I testified twice before Congressional Subcommittees.
10	Q Now, at some point did you go to work with
11	Mr. Armstrong in the archives area?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
A STATE OF	

1	Q And approximately when was that?
2	A Well, I had some dealings with Mr. Armstrong
3	prior to my going down there, but it was in actually
4	on November 1st, 1981 that I went down into the archives
5	area to work.
6	Q And what were the circumstances as to why you
7	went down there?
8	A I went down. I was requested by his senior,
9	Sue Anderson, to help sort of unsnarl and to help to sort of
10	sort out some problems on the biography that was ongoing with
11	Omar Garrison since I knew Mr. Garrison and was a writer
12	myself. So I was set up to do that.
13	Q And we will come back to what you did with
14	respect to sorting out the biography contract, but did you
15	also play any role at all in discussing the archives or
16	research materials with Mr. Armstrong?
17	A Well, there was just basically only the two
18	of us sitting down there, so we had many occasions to
19	during the day and the evening to have short or long
20	conversations and read materials and discuss the area, yes.
21	Q Now were you physically working in the archives
22	area at that time?
23	A I was just a matter of a few feet from
24	Mr. Armstrong at that time at another desk, yes.
25	Q And were you also working on another project
26	along with this project of trying to sort out the contract?
27	A Well, a couple of weeks after I was there,
28	I also became involved in the evening in a project which was

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

basically to assist church members who had difficulties with the church, and that was ongoing also in the evening. It was called a board of review which Gerry knew about. That went on for several weeks.

And the function of that was if there were any individuals who had complaints about things, that this was a mechanism by which those could be resolved?

Yes. There was about, I believe -- yes, there was five of us, and basically an issue was put out as broadly as possible. A phone number and an address was widely promulgated so if any people had any difficulties, they could contact us. We would resolve it and we had people from all over the United States contact us.

Some people came in. Some we dealt with in the mail, some by phone and we had everything from - - it was almost like an ombudsman type of role, everything from "I lost something in the church," to difficulties with ethics matters or justice matters or matters of pricing, and we handled - - we handled all but one case which had to be actually referred to someone else because it was fairly complex, but all to the satisfaction of the individuals, and it was very successful and I discussed this with Gerry, also.

Now when you arrived down in the archives area November 1st, did you spend time discussing with Mr. Armstrong the materials that he had and any system that he had for obtaining them or retaining them, I am sorry?

Yes, I did. Before he left I became more and

24

25

26

27

more involved in it so that even to the point that I had actually ended up creating materials in the archives myself and putting them into binders in the system that he was using, so I actually began to function along the way as an archivist myself.

And with respect to some materials, you, at Mr. Armstrong's request, obtained some materials?

A Yes.

Now was the indexing -- describe the indexing system and how usable it was from a research point of view?

Mell, the indexing system was mainly Gerry's memory. He mainly pointed out to me that the cabinets —— well this cabinet has this and that cabinet has that, and then as things were Xeroxed and put into these binders, of which there was duplicate sets, one set went to Mr. Garrison; one set was retained, they were simply put on shelves in the order that they were made so you had to remember.

At one point I had to do a card system so that we could number them and put down titles, so at least I could go through cards and sign them. Otherwise, it was sort of like remembering where you put your socks in your drawer. It was basically based on memory. It was sort of like knowing which cabinet to go into, which drawer to pull out, which file folder.

They were segregated somewhat like manuscripts would be in one drawer, but you had to remember which drawer among maybe 25, 20 cabinets, and then boxes and then

4f

stacks of papers, and so it was basically a memory system.

Q Now, let's go back for a moment to the -this assignment that you had to sort out the biography
contract with Mr. Garrison, what was your function there?
What were you supposed to try to do?

A I was basically to gather up all the material about the discussions and the contract that had been signed, see if I could sort something out that would probably be agreeable to both sides and then proposed so that the attorneys could handle the matter. I was not to enter into any negotiations.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I was not to propose anything to Mr. Garrison that 1 might be implied as an offer. 2 I was not to interject myself in any way inasmuch 3 as just basically step in as a person that could simply 4 gather it, put it into a coherent form and then propose a 5 solution. 6 Showing you exhibits TT, JJ, KK, and LL, do you 7 8 recognize these? A Yes, I do. 9 10 Are these all -- except for -- let me leave aside TT for a moment. 11 12

Is JJ something that you prepared in the context of carrying out this function of trying to get the biography sorted out?

This was my first proposal which was basically halfway through.

What I was supposed to do was propose it and help to see if it could be carried out all the way. So this is what I had done which was titled "Re Contracting Biography with Omar Garrison" on 18 November.

And exhibit KK and LL, did you also prepare those?

> A Yes, I did.

This KK is handwritten and LL is a typed dispatch that I sent.

Was Mr. Armstrong aware of what you were doing 0 with respect to trying to sort out the biography contract?

> A Yes, he was. He knew about it and had commented

4 5

on it. And I had seen other things that he had written where he was actually very supportive of what I was attempting in this capacity.

Q Was he being kept apprised of your activities with respect to it? For instance, would he receive copies of these documents that we have discussed here?

A Well, not directly, since I was basically working with Sue.

I kept him apprised and in a couple of cases,

I would give him a carbon copy of something. But basically,

my working with Garrison was an adjunct to the archival work.

So that it wasn't something that I was required to do. I

did it out of courtesy as well as I did it out of the fact

that he might know something; he might know where something

else might be; he might know someone that I could contact

and ask.

Now, when you say your job was to get the contract sorted out, was that on behalf of the Church, or other parties sort of associated with Scientology in relationship to Mr. Garrison?

A Well, yes. And also, my view was to also do it on behalf of Mr. Garrison as much as I could.

Q You wanted to be fair with Mr. Garrison?

A Very much so, since it had to be something that would be agreeable to him.

Q Now, the materials that we have identified here, JJ, KK, and LL, were those materials prepared to be provided to Mr. Garrison?

A No. They were internal.

JJ was my proposal which, if it was approved by the woman who sent me on the project, would then be sent to the attorneys. And this was specifically designed for that purpose; in fact, it had said this in this other project that I was given.

Q When you say another project, are you pointing to exhibit TT?

A TT in which there was one step in here which called for ". . .get this drawn up and on to the proper legal lines" which basically meant this was going to be given to the attorneys. And this is what this was in compliance to, that particular step.

Q Exhibit TT was basically the document setting up this "Biography Debug" project; right?

A Yes. And a "Debug" I might interject is just getting it unsnarled, you know, getting it sort of smooth and operating. These are the steps I was to go through which had me to go in and meet Gerry, set up my area, get the documents. I had a little check list that I used.

Q Now, did you know that at the time Mr. Armstrong was sending or providing to Mr. Garrison copies of these documents?

A No, I did not. I was quite surprised when I found out that those had been copied without my knowledge or consent.

Q And within your understanding of what the purpose of these materials was, was that something that Mr. Armstrong

was authorized to do?

MR. FLYNN: Objection.

THE COURT: I don't understand your question; authorized to do what?

MR. LITT: Authorized to provide these internal lists -was there any authority or authorization that Mr. Young
was aware of to provide these internal memoranda to
Mr. Garrison.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: There was not. Because I was not working under Mr. Armstrong. I was working for Sue Anderson to provide the materials to the attorneys.

This was not something that was being kept from Gerry, since there was information I had to obtain. But clearly this was not something to be disseminated beyond working with the attorneys.

Q BY MR. LITT: Now, in doing this biography sort out or debug, there are various comments in here that, presumably, you wrote; I take it that you did a thorough investigation as much as you could of what happened with respect to the biography negotiations and the different parts of the legal matter or contract matters related to the biography?

A I had searched every possible location we had;
I had asked Gerry of any place he might know; I had asked
Laurel Sullivan of any places.

Q Now, there has also been discussion in this trial concerning the financial arrangements that were made in relationship to the contract.

Did you ever have any discussion with

Laurel Sullivan concerning those financial arrangements?

A Yes, I did.

Q And did she tell you who it was whose idea it had been for the various financial arrangements in support of Mr. Hubbard, shall we say, where that idea came from?

A Yes, she did. I had very specifically asked her because since money was being discussed quite a bit, I wanted to know and on November 18, I had met her there in the archives, and I specifically asked her had she ever received any instructions of any type from Mr. Hubbard regarding any financial aspects of the biography. She said no.

I then asked her where did this begin because it had been traced to her, and she said that it was her idea.

Q Now, did you reach a conclusion as to whether or not there ever was a contract between Mr. Hubbard and PUBS DK regarding any biography?

MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. LITT: Do you happen to know or were you able to learn at the time also while you were working on this biography debug whether or not Larry Brennan had any position as a director of PUBS DK?

1	A
2	find out the
3	else and man
4	time, and he
5	to effect mo
6	dealing with
7	Q
8	archives are
9	with him abo
0	been finding
1	A
2	were often a
3	much as disc
4	you have to
5	be preserved
6	to specific
7	gather docum
18	that I could
19	Q
20	with him con
21	particular t

23

24

25

26

27

28

A Yes, I did. I was fortunate. I happened to find out that he was passing through Los Angeles on something else and managed to garner him one time and discussed at that time, and he had brought this up and — because I wanted to effect more information gathering verbally than I could dealing with him by Telex or telegram or letter.

Now, while Mr. Armstrong was still in the archives area before he left, did you have any discussions with him about some of what he had been finding or hadn't been finding or research techniques, things like that?

A Quite a bit. As I said, the conversations were often and they were wide-ranging, and we covered as much as discussing everything from the types of boxes that you have to put papers in, to acid freeze so that they could be preserved as long as possible, radiation, all the way down to specific investigative techniques that one can use to gather documents, people to talk to. Possibly every such that I could imagine.

Q Were there occasions on which you had discussions with him concerning whether or not a complete research on a particular topic had been done?

- A Yes, several times.
- Q Do you recall any examples?

A There is one that stands out in my mind that I had an occasion to discuss the matter of brainwashing techniques and things like this with him because of my own work that I had spent in doing some stories on the subject of D.C., and one time he brought me a small card. It was

about four by six and it was very old card. It looked maybe, you know, just judging from it, it had some years to it. 

6f

I had been folded like somebody had folded it and shoved it in their pocket. And something was written on the back. And without going into the whole story behind it, it was just that there were some stories about Mr. Hubbard where he had been contacted by the Russians some years before with an offer to buy his research.

And Gerry saw it and said something to the effect that this could be the proof or this could substantiate the story.

On the back of this card on one side was a menu Mimeographed on the card, the kind of thing you would set up on one of these little specials for a day for a restaurant. It said, "fish cakes and carrots," and what the dessert was. But on the back was a Russian name. And I believe it said "Russian Consulate," something like that, "Gauge of workmen."

And he pointed this out, that this was relevant to this one incident. This might be the name of one of the Russians that contacted him. And this might be the first independent proof we have that had been found in the files.

I mentioned this, that this was something that could be followed up. It became one of those things which happens several times, just, well, stick it in the files and it just sort of, you know, fell over. And that was it. It was a few times because as an investigator, this is the kind of thing I would like; you find something and that is when you pursue it. That is when you go after it. Once you find

attached.

1	something, that is the one little piece that will give you
2	part of a puzzle. But I couldn't get him to go on some of
3	these pieces.
4	Q You weren't working directly on trying to do
5	research into Mr. Hubbard's life?
6	A No. My first responsibility was the biography.
7	And in my spare moments, I worked on
8	Q When you say "the biography," do you mean the
9	contract?
10	A The contract, debug, with Mr. Garrison.
11	In my spare moments I began to get more involved
12	in the archives.
13	MR. LITT: Now, somebody seems not to have brought my
14	set of exhibits today, Your Honor. So I'm a little in the
15	dark on a particular exhibit number. I have the copy here.
16	I'll go to another subject and then come back
17	to that.
18	Q Mr. Young, showing you exhibit EEE, do you
19	recognize that exhibit?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And have you seen it before?
22	A Yes. But only the top page or the first page.
23	It is a two-page exhibit.
24	And when I what appears to be this was only
25	the first page and not the second.
26	Q When you saw it you only saw the first page?
27	A Just the first page. The second page was not

used to file my subject. That is no list of enemies. You

5-3

1 know that." Mr. Silverglate was there. He said, "Well, so 2 3 it is a CIC sheet." 4 And it was sort of like pushed away. 5 And I said, "Come on. Give me a break." 6 It wasn't what it was being purported to be on 7 the TV show by Mr. Flynn. 8 MR. LITT: Could I have marked next in order three 9 pages, Your Honor, two of which are documents that have 10 "EU's" on them and one of which is a newspaper article attached as our next in order? 11 12 THE COURT: 88, double 8. MR. LITT: No. 88 has three pages to it. The first 13 14 page --Will you look at the first page which has at the 15 16 top the words "X mark" and on the right-hand side has numbers 17 20/11/80/28; is this an index sheet? 18 Yes, it is. It was used for the cross-filing A 19 of documents. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Q All right, and it relates to a newspaper article?

A Yes, in fact, you have given me three pieces of paper, and this first sheet should actually be by itself because you can see at the bottom of the page the article sticking out which is, you can see the first two letters down at the bottom left says A N, and at the top of the page says Anaheim Bulletin, so that is the beginning of the article of the Anaheim Bulletin.

Q But what we have here doesn't have the whole article; right, as far as you can tell?

A No.

Q So when it says subject: Anaheim Bulletin, that refers to something that the index sheet relates to?

A That is your primary subject. In this case they did not pick the subject of the story. They just picked it for the newspaper where it appeared.

Q Now in the right-hand column list there are various names mentioned. Well, underneath the word "subject" there are various names mentioned and there are columns next to them with the letters EUS; do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

Q And was this particular article one that had to do with the case between the Internal Revenue Service and the church?

A Yes. In fact, on the sheet there is a summary which is quite often that would be done unless it was too lengthy. It says, the second line it says, "straight

write up of the church's suit against the IRS from Scientology's 1 point of view." 2 So there was an article about it and then they have a bit of a summary of what the article contains. It is one that would be considered favorable from the church's 5 point of view article. 6 I note there is EUS listed next to all the names 7 listed here. Let me start out with the name Robert Harris; 8 who is that? 9 Robert Harris is counsel right now, one of the 10 counsel in this proceeding. 11 At that time he was a church counsel handling 12 the litigation with the IRS. 13 I take it that the designation EUS didn't 14 mean that Mr. Harris was an enemy? 15 Perhaps only if his bill was too high. I 16 mean that only in jest. 17 Right, I understand. 18 No. It was not. The EUS was not. 19 There are also names of various organizations 20 here which are mentioned as having supported the church's 21 22 position in the IRS suit; is that right? A 23 Yes. 24 And that includes the National Council of 25 Churches which is No. 3 and the Baptist Joint Committee of 26 Public Affairs, and the United Church of Christ? 27 A Yes. 28

0

And I take it that the EUS means - - it is a

??

reference to non-church; is that what it means? 1 External outside of the church. That is why A 2 Mr. Harris, Anaheim Bulletin et cetera -- even though 3 Mr. Harris was employed by the church as an attorney, he was still not a staff member or a parishioner of the church. 5 6 Therefore he was outside the church. 7 And showing you the other two pages that are 8 part of exhibit 88, this relates to an article, this CIC X 9 mark sheet relates to an article that was in the Alameda 10 Times Star? 11 A Yes. 12 And this is also an article about the IRS 13 suit; do you know? 14 This was the story about a very loose coalition of religious groups and how they had been working for First 15 16 Amendment rights as an organization loosely put together 17 called First Freedom, and so they were all allied together 18 under this particular constitutional interest, and the 19 church was just one of the groups. 20 And so this article was about a coalition of 21 groups, of which the California church or the Church 22 of Scientology was one, and there were many other churches 23 that were part of the coalition as well? 24 Yes. In fact, the story itself is about the A 25 coalition more than it is about any one particular church. 26 And again the CIC sheet has all of the members 27 of the coalition listed with the designation EUS next to 28 them; is that right?

A That is true.

- 2
- And these organizations were members of the coalition that the Church of Scientology was also a part of?
- 4

3

Yes.

find something by subject.

through this exercise with it?

5

6

What is the purpose of it, having all of this indexing going on some simple little newspaper article?

7

THE WITNESS: It was a manual system, sir, on which basically one would take like an article because the

8 9

difficulty is sometimes, I know I deal with this, you can't

10

remember to find something. You might want to be able to

11

THE COURT: Well, do you audit every newspaper for

12 13

any article that might relate to religion and then go

14

THE WITNESS: No, sir. Quite often, like the church 15

16

want to go back and we say let me find all the cases that 17

18

Dean Kelly, which is one of the names mentioned in the sheet,

find all the cases where he spoke about a First Amendment,

was involved in a number of organizations, and so we might

19 we are going to put together something. We are going to

20

21 and since we didn't have a computerized system, it would be

22

a way to find all the cases where we worked with the

23

National Council of Churches.

24

Basically, it was the way you could locate 25 your information because there was a number of publications

26

that would be put together. You could use this to write

27

28

stories and articles. It was just basically a way to access it because there was tens of thousands of newspaper stories

alone. It got to be somewhat bulky because we ended up making 20 copies of everything and putting it in 20 different files, but it was a way we could retain it. THE COURT: All right, go ahead. 

\_3**f** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BY MR. LITT: Showing you exhibit 68, Mr. Young, Q do you recognize that?

Yes, I do.

And while you were in the archives area and while Mr. Armstrong was still in the archives area was there sent to the archives area a draft of that document?

Yes, there was. A

And was it sent there for purposes of having it reviewed for accuracy and, if possible, for other suggestions?

Yes. And is it accurate?

I had an occasion to read this over, I think it was last week, about seven or eight days ago. And it is --I wouldn't change anything in it right now. And it is a nice little booklet.

What was the purpose of this particular booklet? THE COURT: If you know.

THE WITNESS: I do know, sir.

There was a need for a write-up on Mr. Hubbard which would be something other than a piece of paper or appear in another publication so you could lift it out, you know, put it in an envelope; take it to a large meeting; hand it to someone. There was nothing that was sort of like a small carriable in this way. So that this was put together for this purpose. And it could be used internally to the Church and externally to the Church.

So it was drawn up for that specific purpose and to sort of bring it together in one place in a fairly lengthy

that night, "Did Gerry see you?"

I said, "No."

27

That was it.

Later that evening I got a call that he had apparently left. And that was the last anyone had seen him on the Church premises until he came back. But I continued there that evening and continued on the next day.

Q And in picking up the archives post did you also continue to work on some of the other things you were responsible for?

A Yes. It was a little bit difficult because now there was -- you just didn't sit in there and file. There was -- people would send requests in. So now I had to do that and other matters that involved that area. So it was difficult, but I tried to carry them all.

Q Did you have any contact with Mr. Armstrong after he left his post?

A Yes, I did.

Q Do you remember when the first time was, as best you recall? Can you give it an approximate date?

A Oh, it would be about 10 days after he left, about then.

- Q And was that a telephone call, or in person?
- A The first was by telephone call.

He was up in the Portland area. He didn't say that, but I had offered to call him back. He said it wasn't a personal expense to him. He allowed it at that point in time. And it turned out it was up in the Portland area.

I had a lot of questions. I had written a letter to him; he had left a letter when he had left and said,

"You can contact me at. . . " and he left an address. Because he had just walked out. There were cabinets with combination locks on them. I didn't know what the combinations were. I didn't know where certain things were. I was getting requests. He had just walked out. He hadn't left anything except basically a good-bye note. I had sent letters to him trying to spell out my questions. When we spoke, I asked him the questions, What was the combination to this lock; where can I find this particular file. Q And when was the next time you saw him? A The next time he called in again and we ended up having a meeting up on Sunset Boulevard, Sunset and Vermont at a restaurant called "The Grinder." Q And who was that meeting with? That was with Gerry, his wife, myself and Barbara DeCelle. 

1
2
~
3
4
1
-
5
5
-
6
6
-
-7
7
1
0
8
0
9
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
10
14
14
15
15
16
10
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17 18 19
17 18 19
17 18 19
17
17 18 19
17 18 19 20
17 18 19 20
17 18 19 20
17 18 19
17 18 19 20
17 18 19 20 21
17 18 19 20 21
17 18 19 20
17 18 19 20 21
17 18 19 20 21
177 188 199 200 211 222
177 188 199 200 211 222
17 18 19 20 21
177 188 199 200 211 222
177 188 199 200 211 222
177 188 199 200 211 222 233
177 188 199 200 211 222

26

27

28

	Q	And	did yo	u get	any imp	ressions	at th	nat	meeting
about	Mr.	Armstron	ng's at	titude	toward	Sciento	logy o	or 1	toward
Mr. H	ubbai	rd?							

A He was clearly bitter. It was hard to get even the substance of the conversation. It wandered, but it was bitter and the representations that were being made were - let me just say they were difficult to deal with.

Q At some time subsequently did Mr. Armstrong come into -- back into the church, into the archives area and pick up some belongings of his?

A Yes he did.

Q And by that time had you had the opportunity to try to go through the archives materials and attempt to sort it out, sort them out?

A I have spent as much time as possible going through the files and the folders to just be able to find things whenever people had a request, and as I said, there was —— it was based upon a memory system so I spent a lot of time trying to read more. I had already read a lot before he had left, but now I specifically went through the files to ascertain what was there.

Q In the course of this visit by Mr. Armstrong to the archives area, did you have any discussion with him concerning a letter of Mrs. Hubbard's that appeared to be missing?

A Very specifically. Barbara DeCelle had mentioned it to me and asked if I had found this and I said well --

Q Let me interrupt. This is a letter that was

described to you as a very private letter of Mrs. Hubbard's from the early '50's?

A Yes. She said that it was handwritten, apparently ballpoint pen, very personal nature, in an envelope and that Gerry had it, and that —— if I saw or spoke to Gerry, to specifically ask him for this letter.

So, when he arrived, I specifically asked him about the letter because that was one that was of concern to Barbara to try to find.

Q And when you asked him about this letter, did you ask him if he had it or if he knew where it was?

A Well the first time —— he had come in to pick up a small cabinet and a few of his personal papers that were sitting in this little work area, and I very specifically asked him because I believe Barbara had also said she thought it might be in this little cabinet, and I specifically asked him if he knew about this letter, and I ended up having to ask him four separate times because each time the question was being like evaded, and he says, "Well, look, I have just come in here to pick up my stuff. I am really busy."

I said, "Gerry, there is this letter. We are trying to find it."

Now, I had not read the letter or seen it, and I had to ask him again and he kept avoiding the question, which is what I couldn't understand.

Gerry, whenever you would ask him something about, "Where is the file on flowers?" Or "Where is the file

on anchors?" Or any subject, and he would be able to say, 1 2 "It is in the third cabinet in the third filing drawer. It is in the back." 3 This one was very unusual, a different way 4 that he would respond. So he just wanted to evade it and 5 6 finally about the fourth time he said, "I don't know. I 7 don't know anything about it. I just want to get my 8 material and leave." 9 And clearly he didn't respond. 10 Was a search of the archive area done to 0 11 try to and locate that letter? As well as possible. You have to understand 12 13 that there was a lot of filing cabinets and a lot of paper, 14 but as well as we could, yes. 15 And did Mr. Armstrong give you the impression 16 that he himself did not have that letter? 17 He gave me the impression he knew nothing 18 about the letter whatsoever, and that was the final and fourth 19 attempt when he says, "I really don't know anything about 20 it." 21 At that point I had to go back to Barbara and 22 I said, "He doesn't know anything about it." 23 And she said, "That is not true." 24 0 Now, when did you next see Mr. Armstrong? 25 A The next time I saw him was at the home of 26 Mr. Garrison, a place that he was renting in Costa Mesa. 27 After Mr. Armstrong left, among your duties was 28 to be in communication with Mr. Garrison and work out any

1	continuing arrangements on the biography?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And was this meeting where you next saw
4	Mr. Armstrong, was this in Utah or in California?
5	A It was in California down at Costa Mesa.
6	Q At Mr. Garrison's apartment?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And what was the purpose of the meeting?
9	A I don't recall if I had mentioned earlier, but
0	I had made these three by five cards that there was about
1	250 of them of all these different binders as well as what
12	else I remembered, and I specifically went down to see
13	Mr. Garrison and specifically told him I wanted to go over
14	with him to find out what Mr. Garrison had since I had no
5	inventory of what Mr. Garrison had been given, and I wanted
6	to go over this with him to see if I could find out and I
7	was using these cards as my guide by the name of the binder,
18	and so I wanted to simply ascertain what he had in his
19	possession and what he did not.
20	Q And did you expect Mr. Armstrong to be at the
21	meeting?
22	A No I had no reason to think that he would be
23	there or not be there. I was very surprised when he showed
24	up about a half hour later, but when I thought about it later
25	I wasn't surprised.

Of

meeting?

Approximately when was this meeting? Q

The end of February, 1982.

4

3

Did you get any impressions from Mr. Armstrong as to the attitude toward Scientology or Mr. Hubbard in this

5

Very much so.

7

6

Why don't you tell us what happened after

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mr. Armstrong arrived at the meeting?

The conversation became -- it is difficult to describe, but it became very bizarre. It got so bizarre that at one point Mrs. Garrison, who was in the kitchen, did something she had never done before.

She had come -- she came in; I was sitting on a couch with my wife and Mr. Garrison and Gerry were sitting across from us.

It was a fairly small room, but about as far as from where I am to the court reporter, maybe about 10 feet.

And Mrs. Garrison came in and sat on the arm of the couch where I was and looked over to Omar and Gerry and said, "Now" -- she was speaking mainly to Omar because he was her husband. She said, "Let's just calm down, Omar. He is just trying to do his job. Let's not get that way."

The conversatin had gotten totally outlandish to where I was simply -- everything that I could do to simply carry out my job because there was the most obscene remarks that were being made that I could only describe as basically pornographic, obscene, in which there were statements being made about peoples 'names.

ŀ.		
1	,	

### 

# 

# 

# 

### 

#### 

## 

#### 

# 

# 

# 

Q And in connection with undertaking this effort, have you reviewed Mr. Armstrong's testimony in this case and the testimony that he's given concerning the documents under seal?

A Yes, I have.

Q And have you had some limited opportunity to review the documents under seal?

A Very limited. Just -- not what I would like to spend, but I have had some hours, yes.

Q Now, Mr. Armstrong has testified about a whole range of things concerning Mr. Hubbard's life. Have you had an opportunity to do your own research into all the things that he's talked about?

A Not in just a few weeks' time; not all the things, no.

Q And did you instead pick some selected areas as representative examples?

A I had to narrow it down to just a few areas which were determined by how many statements were made about it and also the accessibility of records that could be obtained or information that could be obtained in a shorter amount of time.

Q And did you have any people who were available to assist you in some of this research activity?

A Yes, I did.

Q And what areas did you pick to try to do some research into?

A Well, one of the main areas was Mr. Hubbard's

Naval career.

Now, taking the Naval career for a moment, you have not been able to research all of the Naval career; is that correct?

A That would require obtaining documents from overseas, for example. We have been able to obtain additional records, but in a short amount of time there's still more work that has to be done.

Q All right. Well, let's take the Naval career.

Did you pick certain things to try to research?

A Yes, I did.

Q And what were those?

A Well, if I just may back up for the moment.

The first thing we had to do because the records that were under seal in the archives were not —— as far as I could work them, weren't in a proper chronological order. We put them into chronological order and then we put them on a word processor computer so at least we could go back and keep inserting this. We didn't have to work with paper.

I personally did that. I did all that typing on that.

The printout, I don't know how long it is now, but it is quite extensive. At that point then we could begin to see that there were gaps because the way you do it, you begin to put in even where there is no information say for a month, you just put the month on the word processor, so you would begin to see if there is like eight months named, you could see suddenly there is no information named.

If you sit there with paper, you can't tell.

You flip through, it looks like it is very, very complete, but if you go back and go through it like a detective, you can look down and there is certainly eights months missing, and now in one month you have 200 entries. When you just sit there with a paper, it looks like you have got a lot but when you do it that way, you could see that there were periods missing, so what we do is we took him by his main stations, a couple of the ships that he was on, a couple of main locations because there were some locations where suddenly there would be a flurry of paper and other cases there would be suddenly be an absence of anything.

So, combined with that, coupled with knowing or I could go, we began to select out certain areas that we could concentrate on.

Q All right. So as I understand it, you chose because it was manageable in the time frame that you had to at least try to do some further research into Mr. Hubbard's station, places he was stationed or ships he was stationed on?

A Yes.

Q And what did you do?

A When I say "you" there were - - there was a person working, assisting you; is that right?

A Yes.

Q So when I use the word "you" here, I am referring to you collectively.

A Well, we met every day and there was a few times I put in for about 10 days or 2 weeks solid, I would

put in a full day's work going through all the documents so then I could then point out to the person what to do.

Q Now you had to familiarize yourself with the documents that were already in the archives as part of this; is that right?

A Yes and also refamiliarize. I had read some of it before.

Q After familiarizing yourself with these, what kind of inquiries were made to try to get further data?

Well, one of the first places we went to,
which is the most obvious, is you go to Naval Archives, so
we went to Naval Archives. It is not a difficult task.

People that work in libraries and archives, they are the best
people to work with. They love people to come in and ask
questions and to help them demonstrate their knowledge. So
I just had somebody in D.C., in Washington D.C. check up
with Naval Archives and start making the requests, and that
is when we began to get the information.

Q And had Mr. Armstrong ever contacted Naval archives?

A It had never been named to me specifically that he had gone to Naval Archives and I never saw any documents that were indicated from Naval archives. I don't know if he ever called them or not.

Q From your familiarity with the archives materials that Mr. Armstrong had collected, most of those were materials that had been actually gathered by someone else and was stored someplace and he obtained them from those people;

is that correct? 

> There was, with the documents, what has been called the Naval documents, which were - - Gerry pointed them out to me one time in the archives. They were sitting over on the floor just sort of stacked. They weren't even in the cabinets.

I remember one time he pointed them out before they got finally thrown in some binders. He said, "Those are the Naval records."

2f

from what I could ascertain they were primarily from the Veterans Administration. They weren't from Naval Archives.

They had been gathered by others and there were cover dispatches and cover letters often by those who had gathered them.

Q Now, were any other activities done in addition to contacting Naval Archives?

A Yes. That was -- that is where you pursue it on in this type of work.

I tried to distinguish what I considered research and investigation. It is my own distinction, but it is something that I found in the profession that we distinguish.

Research is where you simply go out and like to a library and gather information. You go into a court and pick up a bankruptcy file. It is basically your first level of data information gathering.

Once you have that and you find certain things of interest, then you begin to pursue those things.

That card I had mentioned earlier, now, you begin to investigate and see what you can find out.

So once the information had begun to be gathered from Naval Archives, we had something to follow.

We got crew lists, action reports. We began to pursue individual instances to try to trace different people to see if we could find anything.

Some cases, the easiest thing in the world,

you just call telephone information and there they are. 1 MR. LITT: May I have exhibit 60, please? 2 Showing you exhibit 60, is this something that you obtained from the Naval Archives? Yes. This is the action report of the PC 815 5 on which Mr. Hubbard served in 1943 which was on file with the Naval Archives. Now, Mr. Armstrong has said that Mr. Hubbard was never involved in any combat; do you recall that from his 9 testimony? 10 Yes, I do. 11 A Does this Action Report indicate combat 12 0 activity? 13 MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, he can express an opinion. 16 I'll overrule the objection. The witness can 17 express his opinion as to whether or not in fact there was 18 combat. I guess we can look at all the evidence in the 19 record on that and draw our own conclusions. 20 THE WITNESS: The top page is "Anti-submarine action 21 by surface ship report of." 22 Action reports were reports that were filed by 23 the ships, by military personnel when there was anything that 24 was an engagement of that type as opposed to what one might 25 consider nonaction activity. 26 And were you able to locate any of the crew 27 members of the PC 815 who were involved in that incident? 28 Yes, we were.

A

and march	HEREIT THE THE TOTAL TOTAL THE
1	Q What was that gentleman's name?
2	A His name is Mr. Moulton, M-o-u-l-t-o-n, Captain
3	Moulton.
4	Q And had Mr. Armstrong ever contacted him to find
5	out whether Mr. Hubbard was involved in combat?
6	A According to Mr. Moulton, no one else had
7	contacted him before we had contacted him.
8	Q Was Mr. Moulton the second in command under
9	Mr. Hubbard of the PC 815?
10	A Yes. He was the chief officer of the 815.
11	Q All right. Now
12	A By the way, if I may clarify, "Captain" is
13	currently the proper title from the merchant marines. It
14	was not his rank at that time.
15	Q Now, have you reached some conclusions based upon
16	the preliminary efforts that you have been able to make about
17	the thoroughness of Mr. Armstrong's research with respect
18	to Mr. Hubbard's Naval career?
19	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
21	Q BY MR. LITT: In having looked at this area now,
22	in your judgment what additional areas of research remain
23	to be done to answer fully questions concerning Mr. Hubbard's
24	Naval career?
25	A Well, first of all, the Naval documents that were
26	on file in the archives do not reflect Mr. Hubbard's Naval
27	career.

My life for 13 years has been working with

documents. I have obtained from the Federal government tons of thousands of documents under the Freedom of Information Act as well as having worked closely with National Archives and others.

You have to know what to pick up.

A person's Veterans Administration file is not their Naval career. It is their Veterans Administration file.

It would be like saying you are going down to the bank and pick up a person's bank statement. That would not give you anything more than a bank statement.

Action reports and what a person did in the Navy are not in Veterans Administration files; nor are they, say, in his pay file. It is a very incomplete picture that one draws.

An action report is never filed with a man's personnel records. It is filed with the ship records.

So that if you wanted to find out what a person did during a particular tour of duty, if you look at his personnel file, you'll see that he was assigned to Chicago or he was assigned to Paris, France. That is about the end that you will find at that point. You begin to only speculate.

But then if you find out what was going on at that period of time, what the person was engaged in, you have to find that out from other places; otherwise, it is too early a conclusion to draw as fact.

And there are a number of areas that still have

to be developed that we already have a number of fascinating leads about that I can expand upon if you want.

But the point is that this is what gives you combat.

If a person, for example, were to say I was in Paris, France, you have to find out what year it was; was it the year that the Nazis were tramping into France, or was it a year, last year or something, when you were there for a celebration or a vacation.

Q Did Mr. Armstrong, from what you could determine, do any follow-up at all on these documents that were handed to him with respect to Mr. Hubbard's Naval career?

A He never discussed any with me.

To the contrary, he mentioned a couple of things that I had urged him to follow-up. There were several documents. I told him, "Gerry, that would be easy."

I told him how he could find officers. Naval officers are very easy to find. That is true with Army officers as well. But the commission is passed upon by the Congress. Every time a Navy officer gets an advance in rank, basically, there is an act of Congress.

There are Naval Archives; there are so many places you can walk into to find a Naval officer.

I am not saying it is the easiest thing in the world, but, at least, there are certain attempts one can make.

And once you know where a person is stationed and if a person had a high enough rank, if you're dealing

with persons of high rank then you can pick them up from the New York Times or from biographies. There are so many places.

And I had urged Gerry to do this.

Q Can you be concrete? For example, what conversation do you recall urging him to do things?

A Well, for example, the card that I mentioned was one. That was very -- I remember it very particularly because we had never had anything in that area as far as the Russians contacting Mr. Hubbard.

a document with somebody's name on it, and the name was that of Thompson, and there is a Thompson that Mr. Hubbard had referred to a number of times.

Now, this Thompson, has Mr. Armstrong stated that this was an example, this Thompson person was an example of misstatements by Mr. Hubbard?

A Yes.

Q All right, you were talking about you had a discussion with Mr. Armstrong about this Mr. Thompson?

A Well, Gerry brought it in. He used to occasionally — I'd be sitting at my typewriter and he'd bring things out of the file and come show me different things that were of interest, and he brought in — he said, "You know, by the way, there is this Lieutenant Commander Thompson that Mr. Hubbard refers to quite often. Well, I have got a name here on a Naval record of a Thompson."

And he showed me this name and I said, "Well, look it, this is what I was basically referring to when I say how you find a Naval officer."

I told him, I said, "Well, look it, there is ways that you can do this, start tracking it down in D.C."

It just fizzled at that point. Nothing else was done.

Q So, Mr. Armstrong never did anything to try to find out about this Thompson person?

THE COURT: Was this all between November 1 of '81 and December 11 of 1981?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We spent sometimes 15, 16 hours

2

-
5
6
7
•
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

down there together, and so that is like a double day for most people or in some cases a triple day. He was there until llo'clock at night, sometimes later with me and we'd start at 9 o'clock in the morning.

- O BY MR. LITT: Now, you have tried to do some checking on this Commander Thompson; is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q And you haven't been able to run everything down; is that correct?
  - A That is correct.
- Q But have you in this month period that has been available to you, have you been able to find out anything about the question of Commander Thompson?
- A I haven't been able to do the final confirm on it yet. It is not what I would call in my profession a hundred percent confirmed, like I would say this is an action report of the PC 815.

I wouldn't be able to give a definitive statement. I may be able to in a day or two.

- Q What additional information that Mr. Armstrong never located have you been able to find on this subject on the month you had available?
- A Well we have been able to find a number of Thompsons that were in the Navy and right now it is running about 98 percent certain that we have our Commander Thompson, all the way down to the cat.
- Q When you say "down to the cat," what are you referring to?

A Mr. Hubbard mentions that Commander Thompson had a cat that he used to train and the Commander Thompson we found willed over his cat in his Will.

In Commander Thompson's Will?

A Yes, but I say I wouldn't want to give a definitive answer. We have to speak to the surviving relatives.

THE COURT: Why don't you talk to Mr. Hubbard?

THE WITNESS: Because, sir, in my line of business right now, what's in conflict is Mr. Hubbard's word, and what I like to do is deal with documents.

THE COURT: Wouldn't you like to ask him about whether there are any of these things that he can explain or help you find Thompson or whether there is combat or not combat? Wouldn't that be of help to you?

THE WITNESS: Not as much help as the number of documents and other people because the nice thing when we finally can confirm a document, because they are better than one's memory because they do give us greater expertise.

I have reports here of Mr. Moulton who I have also spoken to.

THE COURT: We will take a 15-minute recess.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: All right. We are back in session. The witness has retaken the stand.

State your name again for the record, sir. You are still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Vaughn Young.

THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Litt.

MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Now, Mr. Young, you said that you had been able to find out some further information about Commander Thompson; had Mr. Armstrong followed up on any of this information?

A Not that I could ascertain either from discussions with him or from statements he has subsequently made.

Now, one of the things that Mr. Armstrong has raised in connection with Commander Thompson is that Mr. Hubbard had said something about Commander Thompson having studied with Freud; have you been able to find anything out about that?

A Well, as I said we are still a bit inconclusive now because we have to interview survivors, next of kin, basically.

But the gentleman that we are fairly certain is Commander Thompson did study with Freud; in fact, we have even found correspondence from Freud to him in Washington, D.C.

1	Q In the Freud archives?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Had, from what you could determine, Mr. Armstr
4	ever made any inquries into the Freud archives?
5	A He never informed me of any such archives.
6	Q Is there anything in the archives indicating
7	any such inquiry?
8	A No.
9	Q All right. Now, would you consider the
10	research that you have done at this point to be only
11	preliminary research?
12	A Oh, most definitely. In this type of work
13	the more you get, the more questions you sometimes have to
14	ask because, for example, with Freud being in the United
15	States when he did, he came over in the early 1900's and
16	gave a series of lectures and set up an institute, and one
17	would want to know more about it because it is more
18	you don't just scoff at a certain point. You want to be
19	able to understand the particular topic or the issue. So,
20	there is a lot more questions that I would be asking that we
21	are going to be following up in the time to come.
22	Q Now, was another area that you looked into was
23	Mr. Hubbard's eye problems or physical problems after the
24	war or resulting from the war?
25	A Yes.
26	Q And I take it on this also you have not
27	been able to do a thorough research job at this point?
28	A Well the first thing is that in the records

which are under seal which Mr. Armstrong had provided, even in there it says that the likelihood of this officer's medical records being incomplete, that is within the records themselves as well as being able to piece together the rest of the records and fill them in, there is quite a bit of work because you are covering a span of the actual records of a period of anywhere from five to seven years, so it is quite massive, but we have been doing some work on it.

Q And what kind of work have you done in the limited time you have had?

A Well, for example, I interviewed doctors because there is a great many medical terms that are in there that I couldn't follow and I wouldn't want to try to ever interpret. Following the doctors and asking them as far as what these particular terms mean, all the way to speaking with Captain Moulton, as far as a person who was with Mr. Hubbard at the time.

How was his behavior. As far as followup that, for example, I spoke to somebody that was with Mr. Hubbard in 1946 after the war, so that you can get someone to say, "Well, what was he doing? How was he reacting with his eyes?" So you get another person's input, correlated with the records, correlated with first—hand accounts, and then being able to piece this together with doctors' reports so you can come up with it.

6f

The difficulty that the records, the Veterans

Administration records have is that they are sometimes quite

dry. It is like a medical report will give you a pulse rate,

temperature, et cetera. But it doesn't describe often enough

how the person feels about themselves.

So that the person says I feel very, whatever word might be used, they will sometimes be very dry.

So we tried to fill this in with first-hand accounts and how other people saw him.

Q And what have you been able to learn about whether or not Mr. Hubbard had serious trouble with his vision?

A In speaking with an optometrist, ophthalmologist, medical doctor, coupled with, for example, Captain Moulton, who was with him on the PC 815, he had eye trouble. He had what is called photophobia, which is an extreme sensitivity to light.

The conjunctivitis was described to me as the watering of mucous coming up in the eyes, inflammation of the lids.

Also, I can't speak to it, but there was a deterioration that could be seen as far as the vision eye test, constant use -- having to use sunglasses; that he used sunglasses quite often, even in the evening on a ship when there happened to be bright lights; that he was very hypersensitive to this; that if there was any light, he would become blinded.

This was what Captain Moulton was relating when

he was on the PC 815.

.

Q What about any physical disabilities that made him lame or have difficulty walking or anything like that?

A Well, first of all, there is one reference in the documents themselves, in the sealed documents with one doctor — the only doctor who describes how he walked; the rest of the doctors just got into tendons and bones and calcium deposits.

One doctor's phrase in there was, "This man walks with a hobbled gait."

All you can speculate about as far as a hobbled gait is clearly a shuffling of feet forward as if your feet were hobbled like a horse. There is that clear reference in there which described how he walked.

Q Now, was another area that you chose to try to do some research on at the time that you had available with respect to an Alaska expedition?

A Yes.

Q Now, regarding this Alaska expedition, what, if you recall, did Mr. Armstrong have to say about that?

A Mr. ARmstrong had related that the expedition was simply a trip that he had made up to Alaska and taken some photographs and sent them off to the Hydrographic Office of which the information was of -- I don't know how to characterize it -- of negligible value and that was just, I think, one or two letters from the file, from the Hydrographic Office thanking him for it and that there was no substantial contribution that was made as a result of the

1	trip.
2	Q And did you make any efforts to obtain further
3	information with respect to that?
4	A Yes, we did. We simply asked the Hydrographic
5	Office what they had.
6	Q And what did you learn?
7	A A couple of days ago we got somewhere around
8	80 pages or so of documents which are correspondence that
9	they had with Mr. Hubbard. There was extensive work and
10	correspondence that they had with him. It was extensive
11	rewriting of the Coast Pilot, a lot of documents that are
12	quite technical, dealing with tides, currents, harbor
13	conditions.
14	But the main point was there was considerable
15	information sitting in the Hydrographic Office on file as
16	far as the work he was doing with them.
17	Q Were any such documents contained in the
18	archives?
19	A No. These are all new documents, not in the
20	archives.
21	Q From what you could determine had Mr. Armstrong
22	done anything to obtain these documents?
23	A From what I could gather, he had not.
24	
25	

1	Q By the way, in the time you have had available
2	there's been a document I am going back to the war
3	period for a moment it is exhibit 61; did you also
4	obtain exhibit 61 from the Naval archives?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And had Mr. Armstrong obtained that document?
7	A I have not seen this document in the archives
8	before, no. This is about the Algol. The log book of the
9	Algol.
10	Q Right.
11	All right, now, was another area that you
12	looked into the limited time that you had available to you
13	Mr. Hubbard's Asia travels?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And do you recall from reviewing the testimony
16	in this case that Mr. Armstrong's testimony was that
17	Mr. Hubbard had only traveled into China once for two weeks
18	on a YMCA trip?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now have you looked through the archives
21	to see what references, if any, there are to indicate any
22	connection to the YMCA?
23	A Yes, I have.
24	MR. LITT: May I have this marked next in order,
25	Your Honor?
26	THE COURT: 89, I guess. Have you shown counsel
27	this?

28 MR. LITT: Oh, no. I have a copy for him.

this?

Mr. Flynn?

MR. FLYNN: Thank you.

Q BY MR. LITT: And is this document the document that you could find showing -- that had any designation on it in relationship to the YMCA?

A Yes. This is — on the cover is the coversheet of the sightseeing trips to the Great Wall following by two pages of the description of the Wall with a photograph. On the fourth page is — unfortunately this is only because the copy in the archives is very poor, that these are tickets which were basically for the transporting to see the Great Wall from Peking. This says that it was under the direction of the YMCA.

Q Now did this document indicate that the trip
Mr. Hubbard was on was a YMCA trip or that there was a trip
from Peking to the Great Wall that was a YMCA trip?

A What this is clearly and also evidenced by the tickets that were attached, that this was something that this organization was conducting to the Wall in Peking.

I read the characterization of the transcript that made it sound as if Mr. Hubbard had joined the YMCA in Montana and the YMCA had organized a trip into China, and there is nothing that indicates that whatsoever. The only thing that could be found is something that occurred in China itself which is quite different.

Q And in addition, you have located journals which have already been marked as exhibits at the time of Mr. Armstrong's testimony showing other trips other than

just the one trip that Mr. Armstrong testified about to China and to Asia?

A Yes.

Q Now have you in the time you have had available been able to get any other leads concerning Mr. Hubbard's activities in Asia?

A Well there is a number of leads. Some of it is actually in the diaries themselves, of course, which are a bit unique because they are simply one man's account, in some cases they are very complete and other times he skips over certain periods of time.

It is a period because of the location in which we are talking about, the Far East and the South Pacific as well as the distance in time, that it is difficult to follow down, but what we are able to ascertain is not the way that it has been represented to the court.

Now, have you -- one of the things that

Mr. Armstrong has spoken about is whether or not Mr. Hubbard

ever had any contact with any Llama priests; do you recall

that?

A Yes.

Q Have you been able to get any leads on that?

A Well there is two things. One, I believe he did mention that there was no question that Mr. Hubbard had heard them chanting or singing to the degree he made a reference of how they sounded, something like bull frogs.

But along the way, we stumbled across someone else quite accidentally that we are still in the process of

18f

pursuing. There was a woman who had gone into the region from the Explorer's Club during that early years, apparently during the very late '20's, that when she met with people back in the far regions they had made an account of the story of a young man from the West with bright red hair who had visited them.

THE COURT: Marco Polo?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if he had red hair, Your Honor.

Q BY MR. LITT: Mr. Hubbard does have red hair; right?

A At that time he had very bright red hair, yes.

She had related this story to the others upon her return because of basically two reasons; one, that this person stuck out in their mind so much, and secondly, by the fact that it was a young, Western boy.

That was how it was characterized to her. So they didn't know whether or not he would be British, American, Canadian or Australian. But to them, it was definitely Western with bright red hair.

MR. FLYNN: Are we dealing with triple level hearsay, or quadruple level?

MR. LITT: We are dealing with research methods.

Mr. Armstrong has reached all sorts of conclusions --

THE COURT: I'll receive it as what it appears to be, a report from somebody else who had a report from somebody else, for whatever it was worth, about a red haired Westerner.

THE WITNESS: It is that method, Your Honor, sort of things that pull you along.

THE COURT: You wouldn't want to bet your life on it, would you?

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't want to bet my life on it until I have it in front of me. And then I would take a hedge.

THE COURT: It would have been helpful to talk

Mr. Hubbard about it, too; wouldn't it?

THE WITNESS: It would be helpful to talk to

Mr. Hubbard, but my procedure and the way that people that

I work with in the field do it, you have your facts and then

you go to speak to your subject.

THE COURT: I thought everything that he wrote down was scripture?

THE WITNESS: I didn't say that, sir.

THE COURT: Pardon me.

You may continue.

MR. LITT: I think, to clarify the record, that refers to things that are contained in policy letters or dictation with respect to Scientology.

THE COURT: I stand corrected. I didn't know there was a distinction made.

THE WITNESS: In fact, sir, there is a reference, a little work I did find where he does distinguish between where he does express his opinions personally, especially where -- well, he said they are my opinions. And he does try to distinguish these at various times.

Q BY MR. LITT: Now, by the way, did you have a discussion with Mr. Armstrong -- I am going back to the subject of Mr. Hubbard being crippled or blinded -- did you have a discussion with Mr. Armstrong while he was working in the archives on the topic of whether from the information available in the archives any conclusions could be reached?

A Very little. It was not a topic that we discussed anywhere near the total amount of time we discussed other topics because there was such a volume of data which he said still had to be gone through and hadn't been gone through. It was definitely inconclusive.

- Q He told you that more work needed to be done in this area?
- A Most definitely because the stack of papers that was on the floor stood maybe 14, 16 inches high. And it was

clearly something you would have to sit down and work on for quite awhile.

Q Now, in your training and background as an investigative journalist and doing research in connection with that, can you describe for me the proper methodology in your judgment that should be used in connection with doing research and investigative work?

A Well, the first thing is to basically name the topic or the area that you want to do; then you have to find out anything that you can about it at which point then you gather up the basic information which is usually when a researcher starts, you start with the most obvious, which are libraries. It depends, of course, on your subject.

At that point then once you have got your subject oriented, you have a time frame, you know what you are talking about -- this will vary between subjects -- you then begin to pursue and go for actual records.

One of the best ways invariably, regardless of what subject, one of the best ways is always the Federal government. Governments tend to keep paper more than anybody else. And you obtain the records.

Then you continue to do it yourself.

The main thing that pulls the researcher along, the things that keep pulling you are things that don't make sense or things that are not there. And it is a very, very hard thing for a researcher to see what is not there.

That is why I mentioned when we put this on the word processor, we see what is missing. It is very hard to

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

19

23

24

25 26

27

28

tell what is missing until you actually work out a method to do this.

At that point you can then institute your search for eyewitnesses. But you have to know it before you get to your eyewitnesses; otherwise, you don't know what to ask them.

Once you have the subject, like speaking with Captain Moulton, we could only do that once we had found the records, once we knew what to deal with. We could ask an intelligent question of someone who knew something about the subject.

Only then, only after you have covered everything, everybody, can you then say you have covered your subject and begin to draw a conclusion.

Regarding the archives as -- from the perspective of whether it constitutes a complete or fairly complete compilation of information aside from discussions or information provided by Mr. Hubbard concerning Mr. Hubbard's life, have you reached any conclusions about the thoroughness of the materials as a basis for making final factual conclusions?

MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Well, I suppose the question is as broad THE COURT: as it is long. I am sure there may be some areas where there is probably all kinds of documentation; other areas maybe there is no documentation. So it is really too general a question. Sustain the objection.

BY MR. LITT: As a researcher and investigative journalist, are the archives as they existed at the time that Mr. Armstrong left or now, for that matter, sufficient to be able to reach final factual conclusions on the variety of topics that Mr. Armstrong has testified about?

The archives, to take a real simple example, also contained copies of the works that Mr. Hubbard wrote for publication. They weren't even complete when it came down to his works, let alone when it came down to his life. There are large gaps such as his Hollywood career, such as periods when he was in the Far East.

The main thing that is missing is simply the work to follow it up.

When you say things are missing, do you mean by that that the real job for a researcher would be to take these materials as a basis for further investigation and research?

Well the first thing that should have been done would have been to put them into an order that one could do that which usually is a chronological order, and then as I say, you can see what is missing. At that point you could then follow up and ask your questions and get the documentation.

There is areas where you can be very specific and you can draw an exact conclusion and it is quite factual. There is other areas where you are shooting from the hip.

The characterizations that I heard remind me more of the story of the blind man and the elephant, you know, that feels like a wall.

MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I guess we have heard everything else in this lawsuit. We might as well hear about the elephant and the blind man. We have heard about bull frogs, bullbaiting, culling PC files. Let's hear about the elephant.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I think it is classical enough, sir, that it almost speaks for itself, but it is an instance that one can characterize things too quickly with too little information and come up with actually what appears to be quote true, closed quote. At the same time it is inaccurate because it is very incomplete.

Q BY MR. LITT: Now, from the discussions that you had with Mr. Armstrong, both before he left his post and in the period of time afterward when you had contact with him, do you feel that he had any bias in the way that he approached the materials?

A After a number of conversations with him when I tried to get him to follow up on certain topics,

I couldn't figure out why he wouldn't do this because he

would terminate the research and just go on to other subjects, and it is hard, it is very hard. But I know when you are dealing at this level of work you have to let the documents come about from your attitude rather than having your attitude generate it the other way around.

Chicanos. If you are anti Chicano and you start with that attitude, you will come up with a certain conclusion because you simply cannot allow other documents or other facts in.

If you are vividly pro Chicano and you don't want to have any anti, you will come up with another one, so it requires an unbiased attitude and sometimes I just couldn't understand until much later why the archives were in a certain state.

Q Now, the information that you have talked about that you gathered that's been in the course of the past month; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And you have not had the opportunity to research many areas at this point; is that correct?

A There is a number of areas that we didn't even pick up to pursue simply because it is a big slower. As I say, government document sources are the most readily available. There is other areas we just decided to not pick up because of the amount of time that was involved right now as the basis of the attorney request.

Q And in those other areas are you sufficiently familiar with them to have an opinion about whether there is more research that needs to be done?

I think as a professional I have done more

1	A I have read all the documents. I have had an
2	occasion now to read or look at all the sealed documents as
3	well as read additional materials and to have actually been
4	able to lay out the other areas that are going to be followed
5	up on and complete the research that was never done before.
6	MR. LITT: I have no further questions.
7	THE COURT: All right, you may cross-examine.
8	MR. FLYNN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. FLYNN:
12	Q Mr. Young, when you say that you have read
13	all the documents under seal, did you do that in the last
14	four weeks?
15	A Yes, I said I read or looked at.
16	Q And all the documents in the archives, have
17	you read those?
18	A No.
19	Q So you have arrived at the conclusions you
20	have arrived at about Mr. Armstrong without reading all
21	the documents in the archives; is that correct?
22	A Yes. There is there are documents that
23	have yet to be actually read in the archives.
24	Q And so you have arrived at your conclusions
25	that you have given as conclusions in this courtroom without
26	thoroughly researching all of those documents; is that
27	correct?

19/5 research than he did. Q Well, I take it that you feel that researchers should be unbiased? A Yes. 

of

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Q And the mark of a good researcher would be the fact that he is unbiased?

A Yes.

Q And the mark of a great researcher would be that there would be great objectivity and almost totally free of bias?

A A great researcher finds what he is looking for in the end.

Q And a researcher should also be thorough; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And the mark of a good researcher would be how thorough they were?

A Yes.

And these conversations you had with Mr. Armstrong about what you perceived to be a demonstrated bias were in the fall of 1981?

A During November, December, '81.

Q So it was during that period of time that you arrived at the conclusion that Mr. Armstrong was a biased researcher?

A No.

Q When did you arrive at that conclusion?

A When I finally had the opportunity to, one, read all the documents. Because I had not had all that many opportunities to read the documents to see what was there.

Secondly, when I began to find the holes, the stuff that had not been found in the documents that were

terminated. 1 Finally, when I saw the conclusions that he had 2 drawn and presented in this court case as a result of what 3 he had read and found. O You did perceive the bias in the fall of 1981 as you just testified; is that correct? 6 A I wouldn't have characterized it as a bias at that time. It was something that I couldn't understand. 8 Q In the last four weeks how many of the documents 9 10 in the archives have you read? A By inches, or pages? 11 Q Let's take pages. 12 A Additionally beyond what I had originally read, 13 perhaps five to ten thousand pages. It is very hard to give 14 15 a page count. 16 Q Five to ten thousand pages in the last four weeks in the archives? 17 18 A Yes. 19 In addition to the documents that are under 0 seal? 20 21 Yes. A 22 And do you have an estimate as to how many 23 documents there are under seal? 24 I have only seen them by bulk or boxes. I have 25 never seen them at one time. 26 Q And did Mr. Litt ever indicate to you that there 27 were eight to ten thousand pages under seal?

A I don't think he gave me that figure. I just

1 saw that was there. In the last four weeks you have read all the documents under seal? 4 I said read or looked at. 5 What do you mean when you say "looked at"? 6 For example, there are documents there which 7 pertain to a subject in which I would not be interested in 8 pursuing; I would look over the document, glance over it and 9 see if this is something I am not going to pursue. I don't 10 need to read this document. 11 I would put it to the side and continue on. I 12 had to select my subjects. 13 So you have arrived at this conclusion about 14 Mr. Armstrong's research bias which, apparently, makes him 15 a poor researcher in your opinion; is that correct? 16 He was quite sufficient in what he gathered. 17 He was insufficient in what he concluded. 18 So are you here to criticize Mr. Armstrong, 19 Mr. Young? 20 I am here to basically tell you what I found out 21 when I did my work. 22 You have concluded that he was a poor 23 researcher? 24 I said he gathered what he gathered; his 25 conclusions were too shooting from the hip. Basically, you 26 can't draw those conclusions from those documents when the 27 work is incomplete.

Now, the conclusions you have drawn, however,

are based on four weeks of work plus conversations you had 1 2 with Mr. Armstrong in the fall of 1981; is that correct? 3 A Yes. And do you know how long Mr. Armstrong worked 4 collecting documents? 5 6 A Yes. 7 0 How long? 8 It was a little over a year. 9 Well, do you know whether or not his petition 10 was approved by L. Ron Hubbard in January, 1980 as a time 11 when he began collecting and reading documents? 12 A No. 13 And you know that he left in December, 1981? 14 Yes. A 15 That is almost two years; is that correct? 0 16 Yes. 17 I can only respond to that in which I continued 18 the position. And I basically have been reading also that 19 material since 1981 which also gives me over three years. 20 So you have been doing this work over three 21 years? 22 No. I said I have had occasion to read it since 23 then. 24 Q At some point did you petition to write a 25 biography of L. Ron Hubbard? 26 A No. 27 Have you requested any permission from anyone 28 to write a biography of L. Ron Hubbard?

that correct?

1	Q Now, do you know whether Mr. Armstrong read
2	all the documents in the archives?
3	A I know that he hadn't.
4	Q Do you know whether he's read more documents
5	than you have, Mr. Young?
6	A No, I don't.
7	Q Did you after Mr. Armstrong left the church
8	say that, "You are the best dammed whatever researchist-
9	archivist type I have met."
10	A Yes. That was my conclusion after working
11	with him for a month and a half.
12	Q And after four weeks you have reached now a
13	different conclusion?
14	MR. LITT: Objection; argumentative.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, you testified that the
17	documents were not properly indexed; is that your testimony
18	A I said there was no index and there was no
19	actual, what you'd call a system by which you could go
20	and find it other than memory.
21	Q Who do you think Mr. Armstrong was indexing
22	the documents for?
23	A He was actually indexing them primarily for
24	Mr. Garrison when he put them into the binders. He was
25	doing it for himself when he put them into the files because
26	the files were much longer than the binders.
27	Q He was indexing them for the biography; isn't

1	A Yes.
2	Q For Mr. Garrison?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And are you aware that Mr. Garrison considered
5	Mr. Armstrong to be an extraordinary researcher?
6	MR. LITT: Objection; irrelevant.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Do you know whether Mr. Garrison
9	praised Mr. Armstrong for his indexing of the materials and
10	collating of the materials for him?
11	MR. LITT: Objection; irrelevant.
12	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
13	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, from the exhibit that was
14	shown to you with Robert Harris' name on it, Mr. Young, I
15	take it from your testimony that E means external and not
16	enemy?
17	A The name came to mean that. It originally
18	began as enemy.
19	Q You are from the Guardian's office; is that
20	correct?
21	A Not now, no.
22	Q Well you were in the Guardian's office?
23	A Yes, I was.
24	Q How long were you in the Guardian's office?
25	A About 13 years.
26	Q And you are trained in public relations to
27	disseminate the PR line about the Church of Scientology;
28	is that correct?

28

	A I am trained in public relations.
2	Q Well, are you trained to were you in the
3	public relations bureau of the Guardian's office?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the public relations bureau had as its
6	purpose, did it not, to disseminate information about the
7	Church of Scientology?
В	A That is not exactly right. The dissemination
9	of speaking about the faith itself would fall more to
0	the domain within various churches. We had a different
1	function which I carried such as like the stories that I
2	was writing about.
3	Q Let's not talk about areas of faith. For
4	instance, if someone asked you in the public relations bureau
5	whether L. Ron Hubbard was a nuclear physicist, would you
6	deal with that type
7	A If it came up in the course of a conversation,
8	yes, of course. Anybody would.
9	Q In 13 years did you?
20	A In 13 years I was never asked that question.
21	Q And do you know whether for a period of 20
22	years L. Ron Hubbard held himself out as a nuclear physicist,
23	at least on the jackets of some of his books?
24	MR. LITT: Objection, argumentative and if there is
25	evidence to that, it speaks for itself, and the witness has
26	already said he didn't have anything to do with whether
27	Mr. Hubbard was a nuclear physicist.

THE COURT: Overruled; preliminary question. You may

1	answer.
2	THE WITNESS: Could I have the question?
3	Q BY MR. FLYNN: I will rephrase it.
4	Do you know whether or not Mr. Hubbard held
5	himself out on the cover of his books as being a nuclear
6	physicist?
7	A No. In fact, you and I had a conversation
8	about that on that D.C. TV show.
9	
10	Q Well, Mr. Young, is your answer no, that he did
	not hold himself out as a nuclear physicist?
11	A As I told you on the D.C. TV show, the cover
12	on that book was from the publisher.
13	Q Was the book copyrighted by L. Ron Hubbard?
14	A I haven't looked at the copyright.
15	So your answer is that L. Ron Hubbard has not
16	held himself out as a nuclear physicist?
17	MR LITT: Objection; asked and answered. His answer
18	is what he said, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Overruled.
20	Was that your answer?
21	THE WITNESS: My answer was to Mr. Flynn's question
22	in that particular case. If there was another question
23	THE COURT: Are you aware of him ever holding himself
24	out as a nuclear physicist?
25	THE WITNESS: No, sir, I had not.
26	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Have you read any of the
27	biographical sketches of Mr. Hubbard under seal in this case?

A Yes, I have.

	es necon
1	
2	
3	
	19
4	
	100
5	
6	
7	
'	
8	
9	
10	
11	- 1
12	
	30
13	
10	
14	
14	
45	
15	1
16	
17	100
18	
	-
19	
20	
	-
21	
-	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	1
27	
	1
28	

Q	And to	your knowled	ige had	Mr. F	Hubbard	held
himself out	as having	a Bachelor	degree	from	George	
Washington L	Jniversity	?				

- A If I may back up for a moment on that.
- Q Well, can you answer that question, Mr. Young.

  Has he held himself out as having a Bachelor degree from

  George Washington University?

A I would have to respond, Mr. Flynn, that I have difficulty telling who wrote what documents in that file.

That is the difficulty.

Some of those sealed documents that are purportedly Mr. Hubbard's are typed with no name. I cannot tell from those documents who typed what.

I do not have any direct information that he had held himself out in that way, no. I did see a reference of which there was a B S C and also B S C N. Now, there was a Bachelor of Scientology degree and --

THE COURT: Issued by the George Washington University?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. That was issued by the church at that time. I cannot early on distinguish between those in the same way that there was also a reference to C E, which some people took as civil engineering, but which was actually a certificate that was being awarded out of Phoenix.

It is a bit inconclusive for me to be able to tell from those.

- Q BY MR. FLYNN: Would it be that to someone reading it, it would be a bit ambiguous?
  - A Reading some of those, I would agree it would

21/6

2f

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, Mr. Litt asked you about your conclusion with regard to whether Mr. Hubbard suffered from eye problems, I think is the way Mr. Litt put it; do you recall that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I am sure you have read accounts that Mr. Hubbard has held himself out as having been crippled and blinded; is that correct?

A I saw that.

Q What does the word "blinded" mean?

A Blinded --

MR. LITT: Is this what it means to Mr. Young?

THE COURT: He is a journalist; he has probably used

that term in some writings.

How is it used in the journalism profession?

THE WITNESS: There is a wide range of meanings which means everywhere from legally blind, where you cannot see anything, down to where you are blinded by the flash of a light bulb.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Well, suppose -- have you ever read accounts by Mr. Hubbard that he was blinded to the extent that he had to study when he couldn't see?

A Yes.

Q Now, I take it in your inconclusive research you have discovered the eye problems that Mr. Hubbard had about which you have testified in this courtroom; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, do you recall the Court's question about

whether or not Mr. Hubbard might be a good source of 1 information to answer some of these questions? 2 3 Yes. And you testified you would rather go to 4 documents; is that correct? 5 That is true. 6 And you mentioned documents from the Pederal 7 government having a high degree of reliability? 8 No. I said they are highly accessible. 9 Do they have a high degree of reliability? 10 I'll tell you, I have worked for 13 years with 11 government documents. And sometimes they can really present 12 a serious problem as to reliability, especially when the age 13 14 of Xerox machines came about and we were able to actually 15 find cases where documents could be changed and then recopied 16 and then put back into a file. 17 Exhibit 60, the Action Report, is that a 18 document? 19 A Yes. And that is written, for the most part, by 20 Q 21 L. Ron Hubbard? 22 No. There are a number of attachments to it. 23 I know the attachments are there, Mr. Young. 24 I am talking about the running accounts of the action of 25 Cape Lookout; that is information for the most part written 26 by L. Ron Hubbard? 27 I would have to do it by pages. I think it is 28 close to an accurate description.

his problems himself than someone else describing his

1	problems?
2	A No, they wouldn't.
3	Q Now, suppose there were notes in there that
4	Mr. Hubbard said that he had a very poor Naval career; would
5	that be of significance to you as a researcher?
6	A It would be as a statement to put it into a
7	context to find out what the man possibly meant as "poor."
8	Yes.
9	Q Are you aware, for example, that he has stated
0	or biographical sketches have stated that he was the first
1	casualty of the Far East and returned in the Secretary of
2	the Navy's private plane to the United States?
3	A I have seen those in those sketches, yes.
4	Q You have read quite a few accounts of
15	Mr. Hubbard's Naval background, haven't you?
16	A Yes.
17	Q You have seen accounts where he claims he was
18	awarded 28 medals in Palms including the Purple Heart?
19	A There may be one account. I may have difficulty
20	right now, but I have seen where it is attributed
21	Q Isn't it basically fair to say that Mr. Hubbard
22	in his biographical sketches held himself out as a war hero?
23	A Actually, the one interview that I know he gave,
24	he actually lessened his participation in the Navy. He neve
25	considered it something that he wanted to talk about.
26	He made that statement very specifically for the
27	one transcript which is in the sealed documents.
28	Q Among the numerous biographical sketches that

are under seal isn't it fair to say that the thrust is that Mr. Hubbard or someone on his behalf held him out as a war hero?

A The war hero part is clearly, by even column inches, a very, very small one or two lines of larger pieces. It was represented by someone who had written those up. But it is a very minor portion of the biographical sketches.

Well, wasn't he held out as being the individual 1 2 "Mr. Roberts"? 3 I saw where other people had attributed that 4 to him. His own statement was he didn't think that it was 5 the case. In fact, he mentioned, it is in the sealed 6 7 documents, that he had told the story about the Algol, of 8 which he found out by the way, he spoke to a crew member, 9 of the Algol about "Mr. Roberts." The Algol was the bucket 10 of "Mr. Roberts" that he was on by the way. He actually 11 dismisses it a bit himself in his own statements. 12 MR. FLYNN: Could I have 500-A under seal, please? 13 Did you read this biographical sketch which 14 has been marked as exhibit 500-A or 500-H - - 500-A under 15 seal? 16 THE COURT: It is H and - -17 THE CLERK: It is what was in the place of 500-A. 18 THE COURT: Do we have a 500-A? 19 THE CLERK: No. 20 THE COURT: Well we will mark it H and in parentheses 21 500-A. 22 BY MR. FLYNN: Have you read that? 0 23 Yes. I have. A 24 Now it has at the end the picture of L. Ron 25 Hubbard. It is a four page biographical sketch; is that 26 fair to say? 27 Yes.

And it has Mr. Hubbard's picture with a

??

1	notation "Truth is the exact time, place, form and event.
2	Accent 38. LRH."
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is that what truth is?
5	A I think it is a very fine definition.
6	Q Now, do you know whether this biographical
7	sketch appeared in numerous publications?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q Sold oh, you don't know that?
10	A I have seen it in a couple of publications
11	that I have been able to trace, but I cannot first
12	of all, this is very rare to have something this lengthy,
13	so it is only a couple of times that I know that that
14	appeared, I think in 1961 and this one is the only one I have
15	seen.
16	Q Your first answer is you don't know for sure.
17	You haven't done any research as to how many publications
18	that appeared in?
19	A I wasn't asked to find all the instances
20	that appeared, but I do know in my time with the church I
21	haven't seen this particular one that often.
22	Q How about for people who were joining back in
23	1969-70. Like yourself and Mr. Armstrong; do you know
24	whether this is part of another exhibit in this case dated
25	1969?
26	A I don't know how this thing is marked in this
27	case, no.
28	Q Well, let's start off at the top, "Hubbard,

Q

1	Lafayette Ronald, author, B S in civil engineering
2	George Washington University."
3	Is that construable in your view as a
4	researcher-writer as B S in Scientology from George Washington
5	University?
6	A No, this would appear to be Bachelor of Science,
7	George Washington University.
8	Q In civil engineering?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So, someone was holding out Mr. Hubbard as a
11	civil engineer with a Bachelor's degree; isn't that correct?
12	A Apparently so.
13	Q In your four weeks and/or three years of
14	research, whichever it is, did you find out whether or not
15	he had a Bachelor's degree?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what did you find out?
18	A He doesn't have one.
19	Q Now, holding yourself out as having certain
20	credentials in Scientology when you don't have them is a
21	fairly significant thing; isn't it?
22	A You mean holding out Scientology credentials?
23	Q If you hold yourself out, for example, as a
24	Class 8 Auditor when, in fact, you have just walked in the
25	door and taken the personality test, that would be a fairly
26	significant misrepresentation; wouldn't you agree?
27	A Yes.

Now, L. Ron Hubbard in his biographical

4fc

sketches basically held himself out as "Combining the wisdom of the East with the science of the West"; isn't that correct?

A Yes.

Q Held himself out as a scientist?

A I didn't see scientist. I saw and he discussed it in the book, in the Phoenix Lectures he spoke about trying to combine the East and the West.

And one of the ways he was combining it is his absorption of wisdom from the Llama priests and his scientific background from Western universities; isn't that basically true, Mr. Young?

A I did not see the characterization Llama priests in that way.

7	Q How about "absorbing the wisdom of the East in
2	"My Philosophy"; have you read "My Philosophy"?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did he say that between 1925 and 1929 he absorbed
5	the wisdom of the East?
6	A Words to that effect. I wouldn't want to be
7	quoted that those are the exact words.
8	Q Which he combined with the science of the West
9	which he learned in Western universities; is that correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Now, there is a statement L. Ron Hubbard was
12	raised on his grandfather's cattle ranch in Montana.
13	You know his grandfather never had a cattle ranch
14	at this point?
15	A I haven't completed that. I do know where the
16	story came from. I
17	Q Your answer is you haven't completed it?
18	MR. LITT: May the witness finish his answer? Mr. Flynn
19	interrupted him.
20	THE WITNESS: All I'm saying is I haven't done land
21	search records myself in Montana. That is one area that
22	hasn't picked up.
23	Q BY MR. FLYNN: There are a lot of things that
24	we could go through, but I am not going to take up the
25	Court's time. But let's take, for example, his war service.
26	He has a fairly extensive recitation of his war service?

You have attributed this to Mr. Hubbard; I cannot

28

attribute it to Mr. Hubbard.

Well, what you have given me is a Scientology

encyclopedia and three Who's Who that are the biographical

sources about L. Ron Hubbard. 1 2

THE COURT: Did you contact Who's Who to find out where they got their information?

THE WITNESS: No. We haven't pursued those particular biographies yet. We are going to.

BY MR. FLYNN: Well, in any event, do you think L. Ron Hubbard would know more about his life and any other person, Mr. Young?

In some cases, yes; in some cases, no.

THE COURT: You are referring to the prenatal period, or what?

THE WITNESS: No. sir.

BY MR. FLYNN: Do you know more about his life Q than Mr. Hubbard?

There are more areas -- there are some areas of his life I know more about than he does.

I just finished recently an excellent biography of President Johnson. And it is really interesting, I think, that a person would be sometimes interested to know what happened around them because what happens to you, you do not necessarily see the outside circumstances. So that is why I say that in some cases, yes; in some cases, no.

For instance, whether or not he had a degree from George Washington University; that is something he would know; right?

Yes. A

And whether or not he had served in the South Pacific and was the character Mr. Roberts and contrary to

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 the script, was not killed at Okinawa; is that something he 2 would know more about than you? Is that right? 3 Perhaps on that one. A As I said, I had already responded on 5 Mr. Roberts. 6 Well, this biographical sketch says that L. Ron 7 Hubbard was Mr. Roberts; as Mr. Roberts, was with the ship 8 less than a year; however, contrary to the script, he was 9 not killed at Okinawa; is that correct? 10 A That is what the text says. 11 Now, you know from looking at the log books on 12 the ALGOL that Mr. Hubbard discovered a gasoline bomb in one 13 of the holds and then the following day was removed from the 14 ship and went to Princeton; you know that, right? 15 A Yes. And you omitted some facts. 16 That was before the ALGOL went to combat; isn't 17 that true? 18 A That is true. 19 Now, did you ever try to -- do you know how many 20 days after Mr. Hubbard discovered the gasoline bomb in the 21 No. 1 hold that the ship sailed off into the South Pacific 22 into combat? 23 An exact number of days, no. I can't say. A 24 A few days, though, wasn't it, Mr. Young? 25 I would have to look back into the records. 26 was not something I tried to retain. 27 I believe it was two days later, wasn't it, 28

Mr. Young?

1	Q Now what is your definition of "combat"?
2	A Engagement with the enemy.
3	Q Now, if it was in L. Ron Hubbard's mind that
4	he angaged with the enemy when, in fact, he hadn't, would
5	you as a researcher as you have testified a few minutes ago
6	look at it more from the objective point of view rather than
7	what was just in L. Ron Hubbard's mind?
8	A You have lost me on that question.
9	Q Wel, I asked you whether or not L. Ron Hubbard
0	was the best source and you said no, and you gave a little
11	story about Mr. Johnson in looking up things in context
12	and after things actually occur; do you recall that testimony?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And under those circumstances I believe you
15	testified that someone such as yourself could know more about
16	areas of a person's life than the person himself?
17	A It is the difference between a biography and
18	an autobiography. An autobiography is that person's attitude
19	of what occurred to them. A biography is much wider ranging
20	subject.
21	Q So you as an objective researcher and investi-
22	gative reporter, could look at, for example, a claim to have
23	been in combat with greater objectivity?
24	A I would hope by the definition of objective or
25	subjective, yes.
26	Q Now, you have read what has been marked as

27

exhibit 60; have you not?

Yes.

1	Q	There are several other ships mentioned in
2	exhibit 60 as	having participated in this particular event;
3	is that corre	ct?
4	A	That is correct.
5	Q	Did you get the action reports of those
6	ships?	
7	A	We pursued a couple of them, but that hasn't
8	been complete	d either. We had to simply move on to other
9	subjects at t	he time because of the time allowed.
10	Q	Incidentally, this Thomas Moulton, you have
11	got his repor	t attached; is that correct?
12	A	It was attached in what came from Washington.
13	Q	Now, this supposed engagement took place between
14	May 19 and Ma	y 20, 1943?
15	A	May 19 and May 21.
16	Q	When does Captain Moulton say it took place?
17	A	Were you referring to a particular part here?
18	Q	Yes, paragraph No. 1.
19	A	This says during the period from 0300,
20	Tuesday, Apri	1 18, 1943 to 2400, Friday, April 21, 1943.
21	Q	Well, April is not May; right?
22	A	That is true.
23	Q	And you didn't read the rest, *PC 815 fought
24	two submarine	s presumably Japanese."
25	A	Yes, that is what the text says.
26	Q	That is probably just an error in dates by
27	Mr. Moulton;	right?
28	A	Probably. That is one of the things one has

1	to face sometimes with documents. I have had that trouble
2	when some people do a typo, especially on a year. 1975
3	turns out to be 1955. It is something you have to work
4	with.
5	Q Well, when you look at the overall evidence,
6	and you read Mr. Hubbard's claims, his running account in
7	here, would you look at what the Navy viewed as whether or
8	not this ever took place?
9	A As another aspect, absolutely.
10	Q Did you do that, Mr. Young?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you know that the Navy said that no such
13	thing ever took place; don't you?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And incidentally do you know how far off shore
16	the steamer track was for the PC 815 on its way down the
17	coast?
18	A It was just a few miles.
19	Q Then you are aware that Mr. Hubbard claims
20	that he sunk two Japanese submarines?
21	A No. Mr. Hubbard did not say that in the report.
22	Q He damaged one so that it couldn't return to
23	base, and he damaged one so it couldn't leave the area;
24	isn't that what he said?
25	A That is a better characterization. It was
26	Mr. Moulton that said sunk.
27	Q These were Japanese submarines within a few
28	miles off the coast, two of them?

26f

13.5		
1	Ä	Yes.
2	Q	And how long had Mr. Hubbard been at sea when
3	he encounter	ed the two submarines?
4	А	That was their second excursion out.
5	Q	Well they were on their way down the coast;
6	isn't that c	orrect; on a shakedown cruise?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	How many hours after he left Astoria did he
9	encounter th	ese submarines?
10	A	I would have to look at that. I didn't get
11	into those f	ine points. Obviously the point you are making
12	it was a sho	rt amount of time.
13	Q	A few hours; isn't that correct?
14	A	Probably a few, three, six, eight, definitely
15	less than a	day.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Isn't it fair to say that is basically the first

28

Q

1	Q Do you recall that one of the other vessels also
2	refused to cooperate?
3	A I don't remember more than what you have cited.
4	I don't remember a third one. There was, apparently,
5	citations of difficulty with gear.
6	Q Now, did you read in here that Mr. Hubbard's
7	boat
8	A It was a ship.
9	Q ship shot its own antenna off during this
10	engagement?
11	A May have been, yes.
12	THE COURT: An aerial submarine?
13	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, right after Mr. Hubbard had
14	this engagement which the Navy said never took place, he
15	sailed down toward the Coronado Islands; is that correct?
16	A That is true.
17	Q And on the Coronado Islands he fired on the
18	Mexican Coast; is that correct?
19	A He did not personally. The ship fired its guns.
20	Q He wasn't the CO?
21	A I'm trying to be very precise. You asked me
22	questions and I tried to deal with documents. I try to get
23	exactly what happened.
24	He did not fire the guns. There was a gunnery
25	officer; he was the commanding officer. The ship fired its
26	guns.

1	You know, if you are going to give me a
2	reference that truth is exact time, place, form and event,
3	I tend to agree with that.
4	Q Such as a Bachelor of Science degree?
5	THE COURT: Let's not get argumentative, although
6	it was kind of asked for.
7	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Young, when the ship fired
8	on the Mexican coast, there was a board of inquiry?
9	A The ship fired off the Mexican coast in a
10	westerly direction.
11	Q And Mr. Hubbard was removed from command as
12	a result of that board of inquiry; correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Now do you know how long he had been in
15	command of the PC 815 when he was removed pursuant to that
16	board of inquiry?
17	A Somewhere between two or three months.
18	Q And how long during that period of time was
19	the ship basically being fitted in while it was docked;
20	do you know?
21	A I don't know. I don't really know how long
22	it was being fitted, because he came in during the outfitting
23	process. A ship takes quite a while to outfit, and the
24	crew can come on at a certain point. So I can't respond
25	to that.
26	Q Isn't it basically fair to say that roughly
27	only 10 percent of the two to three months, Mr. Hubbard
8	was actually involved in smiling the shar days the same

1	A I would have to look at the actual logs to
2	be able to draw a conclusion.
3	Q Probably just a matter of days, Mr. Young?
4	A The incident here was in May. You are talking
5	about the incident about the end of June. Right there is
6	a month and a half.
7	Q But what we are restricting ourselves to is
8	the time that the vessel was actually at sea, actually
9	sailing down the coast?
10	A I do not have all those logs. I have an
11	action report here which gives me three days. I know that th
12	ship was down off the Mexican coast the end of June. I
13	do know that. There is six weeks between that period I
14	cannot fill in the rest of the time yet.
15	Q When he was removed from his command pursuant
16	to this board of inquiry, Captain Moulton took the witness
17	stand; didn't he, in the board of inquiry?
18	A You have put the emphasis on the word "Captain.
19	Q Well, then, Ensign Moulton.
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: Whatever the gentleman was, did he testify
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. He is currently that is
23	his current title.
24	THE COURT: We are not worried about that. Just did
25	he testify or didn't he?
26	THE WITNESS: I believe he did.
27	Q BY MR. FLYNN: You have read the board of
28	inquiry?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And you have also read the affirmations?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you know that Mr. Hubbard has noted that
5	his men lied for him in the board of inquiry; haven't you,
6	Mr. Young?
7	A I saw that statement made.
8	I withdraw that. Mr. Moulton did not testify
9	at the board of inquiry. He wasn't with the PC 815 at that
10	time.
11	Q Which men lied for him, Mr. Hubbard?
12	A There is no names specified.
13	Q You haven't researched that yet?
14	A No.
15	Q Now do you know whether or not the people who
16	wrote the biographical sketch lied for Mr. Hubbard?
17	A No, I don't.
18	Q About his Bachelor of Science degree?
19	A No, I don't.
20	I take a lie as an intentional misdirection of
21	truth as opposed to somebody who simply gets it wrong.
22	Q How many years of research do you feel needs
23	to be done for a biography, Mr. Young, of Mr. Hubbard?
24	A I remember reading one guy said he expected
25	it to take six months to research a biography and six months
26	to write it, and he was only off by six years.
27	It is hard to tell because it keeps coming
28	up. It would actually take some years, so I can't really

1	give an honest estimate.
2	Q Well, how much time was Omar Garrison given?
3	A I don't recall.
4	Q Well, there was a due date per the contract,
5	was there not, to have the biography written?
6	A Yes, there was a due date that he agreed upon.
7	Q Do you know how many hours a day during that
8	period of time that Mr. Armstrong was collecting documents,
9	he was working to provide documents to Mr. Garrison to
10	get the book done?
11	A I know that he worked the better part of a
12	day certainly. There was other little things that he'd do,
13	but if your point is he worked the better part of a day,
14	that is true.
15	Q Now, in 1943 during the action off Cape Lookout
16	did Mr. Hubbard have eye problems based on your research?
17	A According to Mr. Moulton, yes.
18	Q And was that when he saw the periscope?
19	A I don't recall.
20	Q Five hundred yards in a rolling sea?
21	A I don't recall in the report at this moment
22	without going to it who spotted, and it does not say that.
23	It says that he believed that it was.
24	Q Well I could be wrong in the number of yards.
25	Do you know the number of yards, Mr. Young?
26	A I would like
27	MR. LITT: If we are referring to an exhibit, can

the witness be told the exhibit number?

	[1]
1	Q BY MR. FLYNN: You don't know whether or not
2	Mr. Hubbard spotted the periscope?
3	A I would like to refer to the document to see
4	exactly who spotted it.
5	MR. LITT: Can we have a page number, Mr. Flynn?
6	THE COURT: I assume it is his own records.
7	MR. FLYNN: Let me see if I can find it.
8	THE COURT: His Naval record, Commodore Hubbard's.
9	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Referring you to page 12 where
10	Mr. Hubbard states, "The barrel and lens of the instrument
11	were unmistakable" after saying, "that every man on the bride
12	saw the periscope rise up through the first oil boil to a
13	height of about two feet."
14	A Yes.
15	Q You see that?
16	A Yes, I do.
17	Q Was that when Mr. Hubbard was having eye
18	problems if you know?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And incidentally this engagement was at night,
21	began at night and then lasted some 55 hours?
22	A Yes. Of course, you are aware it is standard
23	procedure from a bridge to work with binoculars.
24	Q Do you realize in this engagement at one point
25	in time Mr. Hubbard had the ship fire on something they saw
26	in the water which turned out to be a log?
27	A It doesn't say that.

28f

28-1

1 2

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

15

14

16

17

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

Q Would you turn to page 3 at the top, "...no definite conclusion could be reached as to the identity of the object. And the range was closed although very probably this object was a floating log; no chances were taken and the target was used to test the guns which had not heretofore been fired structurally"; do you see that?

A Yes, I do. That is not how I was asked the question. It says "probably." And also, it was used as target practice.

Q This was in the middle of the engagement with the submarines; right?

A It says they were testing their guns at that point.

Q In the middle of the engagement with the submarines?

A Yes.

Also in the middle of this engagement they left the scene for a period of time because they heard the reporting of a sub to the north; do you recall that? And they found that it was a fishing vessel?

A Could I have a page number?

Q Page 11, "A report that the sub had surfaced off Sand Lake caused all vessels except the Bonham to go flying north to that position. But before flank speed was attained, the reported 'sub' was reported as a fishing vessel."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q Now, I take it that the biographical sketch that

1	you have in front of you, you don't find to be trustworthy
2	or reliable?
3	A It depends you would have to ask me more of
4	what you mean by "trustworthy, reliable."
5	Such as whether or not he had a Bachelor's of
6	Science degree?
7	A That is just a report. This is hardly a
8	documentation that I would ever use myself.
9	Q You know now, do you not, that he does not have
10	a Bachelor of Science degree?
11	MR. LITT: Objection. Asked and answered.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	Q BY MR. FLYNN: In your view was that reliable,
14	that document, Mr. Young?
15	MR. LITT: Objection. Calls for a conclusion.
16	THE COURT: He is a researcher. If he knows, he can
17	state it. If he has an opinion, he can so state.
18	THE WITNESS: In what sense reliable?
19	Q BY MR. FLYNN: As far as whether it was true.
20	A There are things in here which are true and thing
21	which are not true.
22	I cannot say if that document is true or false.
23	I can only point to individual instances or lines as I have
24	been doing with other documents.
25	Q Where it is not reliable?
26	A Yes. But I cannot characterize an entire
27	document line by line that way. I have never done that with
28	any of my documents.

1	Q Now, that document well, you don't know now
2	long that document was published by some organization
3	affiliated with Mr. Hubbard; is that correct?
4	A I only know what it says here.
5	It says "PRO News 1809 West Eighth Street,
6	Los Angeles."
7	Q This story that you heard about the red haired
8	man from the West being in China from someone who heard it
9	from someone, is that more reliable than the biographical
10	sketch that was published?
11	A I didn't represent it as such. I simply
12	represented it as the type of information you get that you
13	have to follow down.
14	Q So you have to do more work on that?
15	A Absolutely. We are going to obtain the records.
16	We have the person who gave us the name. We are going to
17	track her or her next of kin down to find the records.
18	Q Do you have a bias toward Mr. Hubbard,
19	Mr. Young?
20	A I think he is a fine man.
21	Q Do you have a bias toward Mr. Hubbard?
22	A Probably, yes.
23	Q And that is what you criticized Mr. Armstrong
24	about, having one against him; is that correct?
25	A There is no way that anyone would ever absent
26	themselves from bias. But when it begins to control you where
27	you cannot distinguish facts, then it becomes something
20	

What about Mr. Hubbard's Purple Heart; did you Q find where he got that? We haven't completed that yet. THE COURT: We'll take a recess; maybe you can complete something. We'll reconvene at 1:30. (At 12:00 p.m., a recess was taken until 1:30 p.m. of the same day.) 

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MAY 31, 1984; 1:32 P.M.
2	000
3	
4	THE COURT: Very well. In the case on trial let
5	the record reflect that counsel is present.
6	
7	VAUGHN YOUNG,
8	the witness on the stand at the time of the noon recess,
9	having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and
10	testified further as follows:
11	THE COURT: The witness has retaken the stand. Just
12	state your name again for the record, sir.
13	You are still under oath.
14	THE WITNESS: Vaughn Young.
15	THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Flynn.
16	MR. FLYNN: Thank you, Your Honor. I just have a few
17	minutes.
18	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)
20	BY MR. FLYNN:
21	Q Mr. Young, do you recall your testimony with
22	regard to Laurel Sullivan originating the idea about money,
23	as you put it, with respect to the contract?
24	A Yes, I do.
25	Q Haven't you previously stated in correspondence
26	that it was Mary Sue Hubbard who insisted on a separate
27	contract with separate royalties for L. Ron Hubbard?
28	A I don't believe I said that at all.

1	Q Well let me show you what has been marked as
2	exhibit JJ. Didn't you say therein:
3	"That it is not difficult to see that the
4	money became the primary product and topic of
5	discussion, and this is where the cycle went off
6	the rails for no one was working back from the
7	product after MSH" Mary Sue Hubbard
8	"insisted on a separate contract for LRH."
9	A Yes, that is what it says.
10	Q And, again, on page 2, didn't you say
11	MR. LITT: Can I have the paragraph?
12	MR. FLYNN: Paragraph 8.
13	"She" referring to Mary Sue Hubbard
14	"wanted two contracts, PDK and OVG and another
15	between PDK and LRH for separate royalties."
16	You said that; didn't you?
17	A I said that.
18	Q Do you recall a meeting in the spring of 1982
19	in which you were present with Omar Garrison and Lyman Spurlock
20	A Yes.
21	Q Do you recall when Mr. Spurlock said at that
22	meeting to Mr. Garrison that Mr. Garrison was a blackmailer?
23	A He did not use those exact words.
24	
25	
26	
	[1] '[1] 사용하다 전 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

30f

1	Q Did he use the word "blackmail"?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And then after that meeting you had the meeting
4	with Mr. Spurlock with Mr. Garrison at Mr. Garrison's
5	house; is that correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And that is when Mr. Garrison used the language
8	against Mr. Spurlock about which you have testified?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Now, how long have you worked for ASI?
11	A It's about two years now.
12	Q And before that you worked for the Guardian's
13	Office for about 13 years?
14	A Yes, but with the brief period in the archives.
15	Q So you basically went from 13 years in the
16	Guardian's Office, a brief period in the archives, and then
17	to Author Services Inc.?
18	A Basically, yes. Yes.
19	Q And Author Services Inc. is a company that
20	basically manages the affairs of L. Ron Hubbard; is that
21	true?
22	A Among our activities, yes.
23	Q Isn't that the primary activity?
24	A The primary activities are the literary affairs
25	dealing with his works, records, and books.
26	Q Your client is basically L. Ron Hubbard?
27	A Yes; basically, not all of it.
28	Q Do I take it that you are appearing here today

as an employee of Author Services Inc.? 1 No. I am appearing here because the attorneys 2 asked me because I have worked in the archives and knew the 3 area, knew the documents. They asked me to undertake the research. That is why I appeared. 5 Who transferred you from the Guardian's Office 6 to Author Services Inc.? 7 Nobody. Larry Brennen, he was in the Guardian's Office; 9 wasn't he? 10 He was at one time. A 11 And he was the individual who signed the contract 12 for PDK; isn't that correct, the October 30, 1980 agreement 13 between Garrison PDK? 14 I believe he was the one who signed those. 15 16 Now, in all the years that you were involved with Scientology -- strike that. 17 18 When you have been doing your research have you 19 uncovered any lies by L. Ron Hubbard about his background? 20 No. I wouldn't say that I turned up anything 21 that I could directly and honestly attribute to him that I 22 would call a lie. 23 And you have been working on and off on this with 24 the archives and the documents for three years and then 25 intensely for the last four weeks; is that it? 26 A Yes. 27 And you have drawn these conclusions about Mr. Armstrong and his research into the life of L. Ron Hubbard 28

1	based on your work during that period of time; is that			
2	correct?			
3	A Yes.			
4	Q Now, did you ever consider when you arrived at			
5	these conclusions how long Mr. Armstrong had actually worked			
6	with or been in the presence of L. Ron Hubbard			
7	A No.			
8	Q where he had the opportunity to personally			
9	observe the man and talk to him?			
10	A No. Because I also have spoken with other people			
11	that spoke with him. So I didn't take that into direct			
12	consideration.			
13	Q Have you ever met L. Ron Hubbard?			
14	A No.			
15	MR. FLYNN: No further questions.			
16	THE COURT: Redirect examination?			
17	MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor.			
18				
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
20	BY MR. LITT:			
21	Q Mr. Young, you were asked whether you had			
22	reviewed to what extent you reviewed archives materials.			
23	And you said that you had not reviewed all of them.			
24	For purposes of your work on this case did you			
25	review the documents relating to basically the pre-Scientology			
26	period of Mr. Hubbard's life concerning which you were being			
27	asked to do some research?			
28	A Yes, I did.			

1	
2	
3	
0	
4	
5	
_	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
40	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
7-20-	
10	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
04	
24	
25	
26	

28

Q	And you reviewed the archives documents that	t
related to	those time periods and the issues in this case	?
A	Yes, I did. There were certain records that	t
simply fell	l outside that purview.	

- Now, you also said that you have indicated you had a desire of writing a biography of Mr. Hubbard at some point; do you recall that?
  - A Yes.
- Q Now are you working on a full-time basis or anything like that in doing a biography of Mr. Hubbard?
  - A No, I am not.
- Q When you say that you have a hope of writing a biography, did that refer to some work that at this point you have just done on the side as time has permitted?
- A Very much so. It is the first thing that you have to do before you undertake any topic is you have to see what's there, get an estimate of how long, and you are never really writing it until you are writing it.
- Q Mr. Flynn asked you a couple of questions concerning the affirmations. He asked you questions concerning you had seen statements in there regarding Mr. Hubbard's eyes being an excuse or his hips as opposed you recall those questions?
  - A Yes.
- Q If you recall, did those arise in the context of where Mr. Hubbard is discussing -- I will use the court's term -- a form of positive reinforcement?

THE COURT: I said possible.

MR. LITT: I understand. 1 2 Was that your understanding of the context? Yes, especially given other statements about 3 it in which - - I think the court's description is very 5 adequate. They were short, positive statements. Now, Mr. Flynn asked you about Mr. Hubbard's 6 7 transfer from the Algol; you recall that? 8 Yes. A And first he asked you about some incident 9 10 which I don't recall at this point that happened the day 11 after Mr. Hubbard was transferred; do you recall that? 12 Yes, I do. A 13 What was that incident again? 14 This was what today would be called a Molotov A 15 Cocktail, but a gasoline bomb was found aboard material being 16 unloaded aboard the ship. 17 And had Mr. Hubbard been scheduled for this 18 transfer before this incident occurred? 19 A Yes, he had made the request. I don't have 20 the dates in front of me, but the request had already been 21 made up to 10 days, 2 weeks before up to the command lines 22 because an officer couldn't be transferred off a ship without 23 the higher authorities. 24 MR. LITT: Your Honor, may I take a look at exhibit 25 500-I for a moment? 26 THE COURT: If you can locate it. I am sure Rosie can. 27 BY MR. LITT: Now, Mr. Young, Mr. Flynn asked 28

you some questions about statements made by Mr. Hubbard

32f

- Q And this is from exhibit 500-1?
  - A That is correct.

MR. LITT: I have nothing -- excuse me. Just a moment.

- Q Oh, also in the same document in the same document there is also reference to Mr. Roberts in which Mr. Hubbard is asked, presumably, something about a rumor started about his connection to Mr. Roberts.
  - A That is correct.
- Q And what did Mr. Hubbard say in response to that?
- A It is on the same page. It follows the war years' entry and says:

know how this came about. Many of my friends in New York were playwrights. When I was there after my return from the South Pacific in the middle of 1942 and that is when there were very few people that had been in the war so far; the story must have made it rounds amongst my playwright friends. Later in 1944 I was again on the playwright beat and entertained my friends with the fabulous story of 'The Bucket.' Later some of my friends told me I had been immortalized. I didn't know what they were talking about. This is all the connection that I know about. Of course, there may be no connection at all."

I have nothing further, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Flynn. 2 3 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: 5 When you were doing your research did you find at some times that Mr. Hubbard said different things to 7 8 different people? Obviously. I don't find that unusual. 9 Where one statement was inconsistent with the 10 11 other statement. You would have to give me a particular instance 12 to find out exactly what might be meant by that. 13 14 You can't think of anything offhand? I know that -- an incident would be characterized 15 16 differently to different people. But I never found that 17 there was a flagrant contradiction, if that is what you are 18 driving at. 19 Well, when you read the last document I take it 20 you understood that to be that Mr. Hubbard was disaffirming 21 the Mr. Roberts' story? 22 "Disaffirming"? A 23 Saying that the Mr. Roberts' story was not true. 0 24 A I think it says exactly what it says. 25 I do know that the ALGOL was the Bucket. And 26 I do know -- even in speaking with one of the former crew 27 members who related this, there was an incident of a plant

being thrown off the ship of the captain, exactly the way

it occurred. But that is all I know.

Q The question is very simple; did you read the last statement based on the belief that Mr. Hubbard was saying that Mr. Roberts story was not true?

MR. LITT: Objection. That calls for a conclusion.

The document speaks for itself as to what Mr. Hubbard said.

The Court has heard it; Mr. Flynn has heard it.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: In any event, the exhibit that was shown to you this morning was an exhibit in which Mr. Hubbard said or a biographical sketch of Mr. Hubbard in which he said that he was Mr. Roberts; is that correct?

MR. LITT: Objection. The document speaks for itself,
Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, it does.

- Q BY MR. FLYNN: To clarify one other point, I know that Mr. Litt used the term "the day after" in his question; did you say that the gasoline bomb incident happened the day after Mr. Hubbard left the ALGOL?
  - A No. I didn't say that.
- Q It was Mr. Hubbard who found the Molotov cocktail; right?
- A According to the log Mr. Hubbard was the one who reported it found. That is exactly what the document says.
  - Q And then the next day he was transferred?
- A I would have to look at the log to see if it was the next day or shortly thereafter. I cannot be that precise.

1	MR. FLYNN: That is all I have.
2	THE COURT: Anything further?
3	MR. LITT: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
5	MR. LITT: Could we have a moment for our next witness,
6	Your Honor? He is right outside.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. LITT: Your Honor, the next witness will be Tom
9	Moulton. And Mr. Peterson will do the examination on that.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Please take the stand and be sworn, sir. The clerk will swear you as a witness. THOMAS S. MOULTON, called as a witness in behalf of the plaintiffs in rebuttal, was sworn and testified as follows: MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, I'd only note that Mr. Moulton is not named on the witness list, but in view of the witnesses that we didn't name, I have no objection. MR. LITT: This is rebuttal. We don't need to name rebuttal witnesses. THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell your last name. THE WITNESS: May I get my breath for just a minute? THE COURT: Sure. MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, Captain Mculton has a little bit of a physical condition. I would request that if during either direct or cross-examination he needs to take a break to stand up, he gets sort of I guess a cramp in his leg and and he's had a long hike down the hall, with the court's permission that he could just stand. I think with a cramp - -THE COURT: If you'd rather stand than sit - -THE WITNESS: I'd rather sit, but it may cramp up on

me.

THE COURT: Certainly.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Okay.

THE CLERK: Could you state your name, please?

	그들은 그 사람들이 되었다. 이번 사람들은 그리고 하는 것이 되었다면 하는데 되었다면 하는데				
1	THE WITNESS: Captain Thomas S. Moulton, M-o-u-l-t-o-n.				
2					
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
4	BY MR. PETERSON:				
5	Q Mr. Moulton, do you have a rank or position at				
6	the current time?				
7	A I am retired as a retired captain. My license				
8	is still intact.				
9	Q What type of license is that that you have?				
10	A Master Mariner.				
11	Q And where do you currently reside?				
12	A In Georgetown, Maine.				
13	Q And how long have you lived there?				
14	A All of my life practically.				
15	Q And other than your license as Master Mariner,				
16	do you hold any other licenses?				
17	A I hold radar endorsements, of course, on				
18	that. I am also a licensed emergency medical technician.				
19	Q And when did you first get your Master's				
20	license?				
21	A 1947.				
22	Q And with a Master's license, what does that enabl				
23	you to do?				
24	A My license is endorsed as Master of any vessel,				
25	steam or motor, of any tonnage on any ocean.				
26	Q And how long have you held that license?				
27	A Since 1947.				
28	Q And at one time did you hold a position in the				

1	United States	Navy?	
2	A	I did, sir.	
3	Q	And when did you first join the Navy?	
4	A	The end of 1940 or the beginning of 1941.	
5	I think it was	s January of '41.	
6	Q	And prior to joining the United States Navy	
7	did you have a	any other experience on the high seas?	
8	A	Not on the high seas. I was a coastwise	
9	fisherman for	a time.	
10	Q	For approximately how long?	
11	A	Off and on for two or three years.	
12	Q	And when you first joined the United States	
13	Navy in January of '41, I believe, what was your first		
14	rank?		
15	A	Ensign.	
16	Q	And where did you first serve?	
17	A	I was sent to Jacksonville, Florida at the	
18	Naval Air Stat	tion.	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

34f

			3903
34	1	Q	And what course did you take at the Naval Air sta
	2	Station?	
	3	A.	At the Naval Air Station I sat before an
	4	examinations	board to change the type of classification of my
	5	commission f	rom aviation specialist to deck terminal
	6	service.	
	7	٥	Where was that?
	8	A	Jacksonville, Florida.
	9	۵	And after completing the course of duty in
	10	Jacksonville	where did you then go?
	11	A.	I was then sent to Cambridge, Massachusetts.
	12	Q	What rank or position did you hold in Cambridge?
	13	<b>.</b>	I was then Lieutenant Junior grade.
	14		The Navy had taken over the Harvard yard
	15	and some of	their buildings as a training school for
	16	senior offic	ers.
	17		I was head of the Navigation Department there.
	18	۵	Were you an instructor?
	19	A	Yes, sir.
	20	Q	For how long did you instruct there at Harvard?
	21	λ	For the first two classes when we reappointed
	22	our successo	rs from the class graduates.
	23		I left there in the fall.
	24	Q	When you left Cambridge where did you then
	25	go?	
	26	A.	Miami, Florida to the Sub Chasing Training
	27	Center.	

Q At the Sub Chaser Training Center, what

THE PARTY OF THE P	
1	was the course of instruction that was offered there?
2	A Well, a certain amount of ship handling,
3	so forth; primarily it was anti-submarine warfare tactics
4	and quite high qualifications in sonar.
5	Q How long a course in this instruction was
6	offered at the Sub Chaser School in Miami?
7	A As I recall, it was 60 or 90 days.
8	Q While you were at the Sub Chaser School in
9	Miami, what year was that?
10	A That would have been 1942.
11	Q Do you recall the month?
12	A I left there just before Christmas, I think.
13	I think it was Christmas Eve.
14	Q So it would be safe to say late October is
15	when you first arrived?
16	A. I would think so, yes.
17	And while you were in Miami at the Sub Chaser
18	School did you meet L. Ron Hubbard?
19	A. I did.
20	Q Was he also attending school?
21	A. Yes. He was in my class.
22	Q Did you know him socially?
23	A. Quite well.
24	He was a guest of my wife and me at dinner;
25	we were his guests many times. We were quite close.
26	Q He was also attending the school?
27	A. He was, sir.
28	Q And at school he was taking the same courses

## you were taking? 1 Yes, the same class. 2 And do you recall his rank at that time? 3 He was two stripes, full lieutenant. 4 And to your knowledge had he any previous 5 naval experience before attending the Sub Chaser School? 6 It was common knowledge that he had been 7 . A. in destroyers for some time before that. 8 You don't have the exact details? 9 Other than hearing the instructors in the 10 classrooms refer to it. 11 He was used as something an authority in 13 the classroom. Do you know what his position was on these 14 15 destroyers? 16 I am told he was gunnery officer on the EDSEL. 17 I don't know about the others. You mentioned that you left the Sub Chaser 18 19 School in December of 1942; where did you go from there? 20 I was pulled out of the class a week or ten 21 days ahead of time. 22 There was a Sub Chaser being completed in 23 Massachusetts that the captain had been taken ill on 24 and they needed a captain. Because I was near the top 25 of the class, I was pulled out ahead of time, I think 26 a week or ten days. And I took over command of the SC767. 27 Did you see any duty on that sub chaser?

Yes, sir. I brought her -- well, several

patrols, quite a few patrols. I had her for several months. I don't remember just how long. I finally brought her to Miami and she was then turned over to the Brazilian Navy under Lend Lease. 

	1	
1	2	

Q Now these patrols were along the eastern seaboard of the United States?

3

A Yes, sir, and offshore.

4

5

Q And at that time was there an imminent danger of enemy submarines in the area?

6

A There were sinkings all up and down at that time.
We were so desperate for ASW vessels.

7

O And ASW is?

L. Ron Hubbard?

8 9

A Anti-Submarine Warfare.

10

Q And when did you again have communication with

11

13

14

A After my ship was turned over to the Brazilians which would have been sometime in the early spring, perhaps March, I was assigned to a PC as executive officer. We started for the Southwest Pacific, but we were diverted en route to Key West and went through a week or ten day course in

15 16

anti-submarine warfare at the fleet sound school.

17

19

Then I was promoted, was senior to the captain,
so I was detached and then I returned to Miami to a subchaser
training center for reassignment, and I received a call from

20

Ron Hubbard that his executive officer was either ill or

had been transferred or something. I don't recall the details.

22

Q You recall at that time where Mr. Hubbard was

24

stationed?

A He was in Portland, Oregon at the Albina Engine

25 26

and Machine Works with his new ship being built, and although

I had a chance to go probably as captain myself on the PC,

27

he asked me as a particular favor would I come out for a

1	time as his executive officer because he was in serious
2	difficulties, and that I did do.
3	Q And the serious difficulties, was that as a result
4	of losing his executive officer?
5	A Mostly that. His executive officer had been
6	transferred for some reason and he had two young ensigns
7	who were not very experienced, of course. He was also ill
8	at the time. He was recovering from, I believe, pneumonia
9	but I am not certain.
0	Q And then did you travel to Oregon?
1	A I did.
2	Q And when you first arrived in Oregon, did you
3	meet with Mr. Hubbard?
4	A I did. He had just come from the hospital or
5	wherever he had been laid up. I think that was his first
6	day back to duty.
7	Q Did he seem to be in good physical condition?
8	A Other than recovering from this illness that
9	he had had, yes, sir.
0	Q And when you took over duties, had the ship that
1	Mr. Hubbard had been working on, had it been commissioned
2	yet?
3	A No, sir,
4	Q What was the status of that ship?
5	A She was still in building.
6	Q And is that the ship that was later designated

28 A That's correct.

the PC 815?

1	
1	
2	

And were you also stationed there in Albina? Q

Albina Engine and Machine Works was the name of the shipyard.

3

And that is in what city?

5

A Portland, Oregon.

6

Did you have an opportunity also to visit with Q Mr. Hubbard on a social basis?

7 8

Yes, he and his wife and I went to dinner several

9

times.

10

MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

11

THE COURT: All right, you may. MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, I am showing the witness

12 13

a photograph. Could we have that marked as plaintiff's next?

14

THE COURT: 90, I believe.

15 16

BY MR. PETERSON: Captain Moulton, do you recognize either of those two gentlemen?

17

A I do.

A

offices.

18

And who is the officer on the right?

19

On my right? A

20

The right-hand side of the picture.

21

That is I before the beard. A

22

And about what month and year was that taken? Q

23

That was taken in 1943. I would think in April, perhaps March, but probably April.

24 25

And was that taken in Albina? Q

26

It was taken in the shipyard in one of their

6	1	And the gentleman on the left, do you recognize
	2	that gentleman?
	3	A. That is L. Ron Hubbard.
	4	Q. And is that the way he looked in April of
	5	1943?
	6	A Exactly.
	7	Q. And what was the purpose of taking that photograph
	8	A It was for publicity purposes for the shipyard,
	9	I believe.
	10	Q It was actually taken in the office of the
	11	shipyard?
	12	A - Yes, it was.
	13	Q And have you had that photograph in your
	14	possession since 1943?
	15	A I have had the original of that in my possession,
	16	yes.
	17	Q And I notice in that photograph that Captain
	18	Hubbard is wearing dark glasses; is that correct?
	19	A That is correct.
	20	Q And in all the times that you knew him in
	21	Portland did he wear dark glasses?
	22	A It was necessary for him to wear them, yes.
	23	And when you knew him in Miami did he wear
	24	dark glasses?
	25	A Yes, he did, the same glasses.
	26	Q Did he ever tell you why he had to wear the
	27	dark glasses?
	20	

## Q What did he say?

 A. He said that his eyes had been injured in the flash from a large caliber gun. I think it was a four or five-inch gun on a destroyer he had been on.

The gun was fired prematurely. He was standing adjacent to the muzzle and he received a bad flash burn which did not impair his vision, but it was very painful for him to go around in any sort of light without the glasses on.

I saw him try it on one occasion.

- Q On this one occasion that he tried to go without his glasses, could you describe that?
- A Yes. We were in a place in Seattle, I believe, known as the Seattle Tennis Club. There was this function, a dance or something, going on. And one of the ladies in the party was kidding him about wearing dark glasses in dim light at night.

And he told her why. And for some reason, she thought that was a strange story.

So to go along with it, he took his glasses off.

Within five or ten minutes, even in that dim light, his eyes watered very badly, became red. And I think he said he had a very bad headache.

He put his glasses back on and from then on wore them at all times except on the bridge at night in the dark.

Q Now, at any time when you were with Mr. Hubbard

in Portland did he have any complaints about pain in his low back or any area like that?

A. He frequently complained of pain in his right side and the back in the area of the kidneys which he said was due to some damage from a Japanese machine gun very early in the war.

And from that he had considerable difficulty in urination. And upon at least one occasion I saw him urinating bloody urine. He had great difficulty in urinating.

- Q Now, at some time I presume that the PC815 was completed and ready for sea duty, is that correct?
  - A That is correct.
  - And do you recall about what time that was?
- I don't know the exact date, but about the 1st of May; perhaps the last week in April of '43.
- Q And when the ship was completed, what did you and Captain Hubbard do at that time?
- A. We stayed in Portland for a week or ten days outfitting and conducted trials, builders' trials, during which time the propeller was damaged. And we came back and we drydocked to repair that.

The pilot managed to hit a mud lump in the river or something on the trials. That was repaired; we finished outfitting and went down the river sometime about the 10th, 11th, perhaps 12th of May.

And when the trial runs and the outfitting had been completed, did you at that time receive any orders?

1	A Yes. We were ordered to proceed to Astoria
2	for loading ammunition. And then we were supposed to
3	go to Seattle to have Radar installed and some other
4	equipment.
5	Q Did you commence to proceed to Astoria to
6	take on ammunition?
7	A. Yes, we did.
8	Q Did you make it there?
9	A. When we got to Astoria they only gave us
10	a small quantity of ammunition for structural firing,
11	the tests that had to be made.
12	Q What are structural firing tests?
13	A The main gun had to be fired a certain number
14	of rounds, perhaps a half dozen or something.
15	We had to roll ash cans from the racks on
16	the stern and also fire the K-guns.
17	Q "Ash cans," are those
18	A Depth charges.
19	Q used in sinking submarines?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q What are "K-guns"?
22	A. They were guns shaped roughly in the shape
23	of the letter K that fired depth charges. There were
24	two of them aft so you could fire depth charges on each
25	side through the air some distance from the ship.
26	Q The ash cans, would they roll off the back?
27	A. They rolled off two racks in the back.

Were there any other armaments on that ship?

A Yes. She carried a 40-millimeter gun; she had three-inch 50, I believe. I may be confusing her with another ship, but I think she had a three-inch 50.

I know she had a 40-millimeter forward and I think the three-inch 50 aft.

She carried two or three 20-millimeters; I think perhaps two.

Q After you had taken on the ammunition in Astoria, then you proceeded to test fire the guns?

A Not at that time. We were due to go to Bremerton to do this at the Navy yard in Seattle.

There was a Navy plane that went down off
the coast and all of us were ordered out to search for
that ship or that plane. And when we came back in, our
orders were then changed. And instead of going to Seattle,
because we were already late from this other thing, we
would proceed to, I think it was, San Francisco. I have
forgotten. I think it was San Francisco or San Diego,
one or the other. And then we would conduct these tests
en route.

	ı		
,			
		•	

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

27

- Q Okay. The trip to Seattle and the Bremerton shippards at that location, I believe you testified that you were going to outfit the ship with radar equipment?
- A She was to get radar and also rocket propelled mousetraps which they did not carry at the time.
  - Q What is a rocket propelled mousetrap?
- A They were rockets that could be fired from the foredeck. They were used in ASW attacks. They were a generation ahead of the depth charges. They later became standard equipment on all ships of that type.
- Q Okay. So with the test amount of ammunition that you had loaded at Astoria, you then proceeded, I believe it would be south down the coast?
- A We were bound south for either San Diego or San Francisco. I don't recall.
- Q And do you recall the approximate date that you had commenced the trip south?
- A It would have been, I would think, the last week in May. I am not certain of the date, perhaps the 22nd, 23rd. I am not sure.
  - O And that is 1943?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And when you say "we," how many other ships were in the group?
  - We were alone. We were en route by ourselves.
  - Q And who was the captain of that ship?
  - A Ron Hubbard.
  - Q And that is the PC 8157

٠		
ı		
•		

A That's correct.

2

And what was your duty on the ship?

4

5

A Well, I had several hats because there were only four officers and quite a few departments. I was executive officer. I was also the medical officer. I was communications officer, and I was also the engineering officer.

6

7

O Did Mr. Hubbard also wear several hats?

8

No, sir. He was captain or commanding officer.

9

That was all.

O That was standard?

10

A That was standard on all ships with reduced personnel.

12

13

Q And did you have any type of sonar detection equipment on that ship?

14

A Yes, sir. We had the latest sonar equipment at that date that was being used in anti-submarine warfare.

16

Q Had you personally had any training on sonar equipment?

18

A Yes, sir, both in Miami and in Key West. I was considered an expert on it. So was Ron, of course.

20

Q And did you also have a separate officer or enlisted man who held the duty of operating sonar equipment?

22

A We had either two or three sonar operators, one or two were third class, and I think one was first class or second, and this came under me directly under communications.

24

26 I was in charge of the sonar.

27

Q And part of your training in the subchaser school in Miami, did you take instruction on the use of sonar

equipment?

A Yes, sir. We had what today are known as simulators which were used in the Navy and in the Air Porce, also, where the computer duplicated a submarine and his motions, and submarine trained people operated the computer and our bridge crew would operate the ASW team equipment, and we had mock battles.

Then, in Key West we operated on live submarines with test firing equipment and conducted many runs there also.

Q When a submarine is detected on some sonar equipment, does it make a distinctive response or noise of any kind?

A Well, in the equipment of that day, I can't speak for what is used today, I am not familiar, you sent an outgoing signal and a pinging sound was reproduced as that signal went out. When the signal did not encounter any object, there was no return. It was merely a series of pings which went on day and night when you were underway.

On anything that was picked up in the beam from this, you received an echo coming back which you then had to distinguish as to whether it was metal, such as a ship, a submarine, a whale, a fish or just disturbances in the water. They all had characteristic types of echoes.

Q And part of your training was to distinguish between the different characteristics of the returning sound on the sonar?

A A good part of our training was, a great part

because that was vital to a successful attack.

Q And how could you distinguish the sound, for example, that would be made with sonar bouncing off of a whale versus a submarine?

A well, when the sonar beam hit the whale, he would naturally have blubber and so forth, and the echo that returned was not as sharp. If you hit metal, other than in bad conditions of sound reception, it came back as a much crisper, sharper echo just as though you had talked to a hard wall as opposed to a padded cell type of wall.

Q And disturbances, for example, bubbles or any other type of disturbance in the water, would that have a sound that was even different from that of a whale or a fish?

A They all had a most characteristic sound under ideal conditions.

Q And the sonar equipment on the PC 815, where was the receptor?

A The equipment was in a small alcove right on the bridge. You could draw a curtain across it so the light at night didn't bother the bridge crew, but it was essentially on the bridge in a little alcove.

2	
_	

Q Was there some scope on the sonar equipment also?

A There was the operating dial with an arrow which indicated the direction that it was being trained at at the time.

There were numerous operational gauges along with it, but essentially it gave you the bearing that you sent the signal out on. And when it came back, then, of course, you had a receiver much as a loud speaker where you could listen to the echo. The sound man heard the same thing on earphones. And I or whoever wanted to check it also had a pair of earphones which gave you better fidelity.

- Q And on the trip south in May of 1943 as an officer you were usually stationed on the bridge?
  - A I stood a watch on the bridge a four-hour watch.

Then I stood an additional watch because our two ensigns were not very experienced and usually when they were on watch, except under ideal conditions, I stood by on the bridge or in the chart room with one of them and Ron stayed back on with the other one.

- Q And as you were proceeding south in May of 1943 at some point did someone raise an alarm that there was a possible submarine in the area?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And to your recollection who first raised that alarm?
  - A Three of us did.
- Ron was on the bridge; I was on the bridge and the sonar man, of course.

what the target was doing, whether it was stopped, whether 1 it was moving, and, if so, what course. 2 This was done both with what was then a highly 3 classified attack piece of electronics, now, knowledge and 4 obsolete. But it was then the very latest that very few 5 people knew about. We had one of the earliest ones. And 6 we kept that going for a plot along with our own manual plot 7 with a stop watch. 8 And you had determined that the target was a 9 submarine? 10 A Beyond any question. 11 Did you scan the area for any other under water 0 12 13 ships? We had been conducting a routine search. Once 14 we picked up on this target we concentrated on that, of 15 course. 16 After making the determination that it was, 17 indeed, a submarine, what did you then do? 18 Well, we took some time -- it has been so many 19 years I can't remember how long -- but we took some time to 20 evaluate it. 21 During that time we would know that a submarine 22 23 would hear our pinging inside its hull. 24 If he were friendly, he would have made 25 recognition signals. 26 We received no recognition signals; so we 27 proceeded to attack.

What type of recognition signals would a friendly

28

Q

27

And do you recall how many depth charges?

A. No, we were rationed because we only had something like 12 or 14 onboard. I think in our first attack, if I remember right, we rolled three or four and we fired the K guns, but I think if I remember right one of them didn't go off. After that we made a series of attacks over several days. Eventually our ammunition was replenished, but it took a lot of doing to get it replenished.

- Q When you commenced the first run or attack on the submarine, were you out there alone?
- A Yes, sir. Naturally we alerted the shore side people by radio that we were commencing an attack.
- And do you recall the approximate location of your vessel at the point you commenced the attack?
- A. We were off the Oregon coast. I think it is called, if I remember right, Cape Mears. It was between there and a head called Tillamook. There is a beach in the area known as, I think it is Silver Sand Beach, something similar to that, and there is another landmark, Haystack Rock. We were somewhere off that. Our battle report would show the position, of course.
- Approximately how far off the coast did this incident take place?
- A I would have to refer to the battle report because we made so many runs over those days. We were, I would think, perhaps 10 or 12 miles, but that is purely my recollection.

charges on the target?

detection gear, magnetic type of gear, and they confirmed

all of our -- whenever we had a contact or we gained contact, we would give them the position. They would then pick it up on their gear and verify it or if they picked it up before we did, they would call us onto the barium range because after depth charge attacks, you lose contact and then you have to pick them up again, so we worked as a team together.

remember --

made myself

26

27

28

1	And at least at one point I can't remember -
2	at least twice they dropped depth charges on the target.
3	They verified along with the depth charges we dropped.
4	Q So the blimps were also equiped with depth
5	charges?
6	A They had depth charges set for shallow surface.
7	They didn't have the large deep water ones that we
8	carried.
9	Q How were you in communication with the blimps?
0	A We had regular radio gear, ship to ship and
1	ship to air.
2	They had similar equipment, except very weak.
3	I think it was only five or ten watts.
4	For that reason, the shore stations could hear
5	us, but they could not hear the blimps.
6	Q Could you personally hear any of the radio
7	transmissions between the PC 815 and the blimps?
8	A Yes. Because a great many of them I made mysel
9	as communications officer.
20	Throughout the action I was in charge of all
21	communications.
22	Q And at any time in the communications that you
23	had with the blimps did they confirm to you that the target
24	was, indeed, a submarine?
25	A In their opinion it was. They felt so.

And how would they form an opinion that it was Q a submarine? Was it visual, or do they have certain type of equipment?

I think -- I frankly don't know. I have never 1 been aboard that type of blimp. 2 How they evaluated, I have no idea; however, 3 at one point when the submarine tried to surface on us we 4 5 all saw its periscope and what appeared to be perhaps the 6 upper rim of its conning tower. 7 And the blimps also reported up its periscope 8 to us. 9 They also reported it on another occasion further 10 away when we had lost contact. They said they saw the periscope then. That one, we did not. We were a little too 11 12 far away, I think. 13 So they saw it, I believe, twice. We had it only 14 once. 15 And they made a radio transmission of this 0 16 location? 17 They called us over and I imagine they dropped 18 a smoke float. That is what they had been doing; each time 19 they had a contact they had marked it for us. 20 They you would proceed to the smoke float and 0 21 start dropping depth charges? 22 We would start ranging in that direction with 23 our sonar and close on it until we picked it up. 24 You mentioned that you had made these attacks 25 over a two-and-a-half day period; after that what did you 26 then do? 27 I think the total time we were in action was A

28

something like 60 hours.

of the second day when we made -- we had lost contact with

Well, of course, they were speeding up, slowing

down, and stopping and maneuvering. But eventually we felt

27

certain that we had damaged both of them. We were quite certain that we had completely wrecked the one who tried to surface on us. He never moved from that position after that for another day or so.

The second one --

Q By not moving from a position for a day or so, what did that indicate?

A We could pick him up with our sound gear. But he never changed position.

V

8 9

Q What did that indicate to you?

A We figured that he was long since past temporary damage. He had been down so long I think he would have been out of air. He'd be unable to manuever because early in that period, he had echo ranged on us. We heard the echo ranging clearly from his gear, and we were at that time fully expecting to have a torpedo sent at us, but the torpedo never came and we felt because of the angle that he was apparently at on the bottom, that he could not bring his stern or bow to bear on us to fire a torpedo.

Q And the other target, did you make a determination as to the ultimate fate of that target?

A In our opinion, the one sub was a definite sinking. We were fully decided on that.

The second one we felt was either sunk or damaged so badly that he could not get away.

- And at that point did you terminate the attack?
- A No, we stayed around. I have forgotten how long. It would be in this report. We and the other ships patrolled the area for quite some time and set up picket lines and so forth to listen for any movement. We never heard any.
- Q And did you yourself file a report as part of that exhibit 60?
  - A Bxhibit 60? That is this?
  - Q Yes.
- A Yes. I wrote nothing as elaborate as this. I merely wrote a short report.
  - Q Could you locate that report in exhibit 60?

	4		
i	ł		

A If it is here.

Q I think it is just after the report of Captain Hubbard.

A Yes, here is my report.

Q And would you look down in about the second paragraph, I believe, of that report. Actually it is in the paragraph 3, subsection 1. You indicate that the attack took place in April?

A No. That is an error on my part when I wrote it. That, of course, was May.

Q Why do you think that you wrote April instead of May?

A Well, I notice the report is dated May 25. This attack was over on the 21st. It was at least another day before we got into port. We were sent up to Seattle to report to Admiral Fletcher, and in all of this time we had had very, very little sleep. I was so tired I probably wrote half of this in my sleep. I have a habit of missing months and dates. That is why I keep a watch with a calendar on it because I never can remember dates.

That is not a typographical. It is merely my fault in writing it.

Q In the action report I noticed there was an incident where I believe the 20 millimeter gunners had taken some shots that weren't directed toward the target but maybe directed in another direction; do you recall such an incident?

A I do. It damn near got me.

Q And could you describe briefly what that incident was?

A How it came about or why it was aimed where it was?

Q Both.

part known as a parallelogram. Was about yay big and it looked as though it could be put into the mechanism either way. In fact, it could be, but when it went in one way, you lost complete control of the trigger mechanism. This was a common fault that was later remedied in 20 millimeter guns, but in the early ones, that was common. And after a firing which we had done a day or so before, the guns naturally had been overhauled by very tired personnel and in the dark. We were showing no lights, and apparently this parallelogram, as we found it, had been put in backwards and for some reason the gun went off.

Q Could you have been firing at the periscope or the conning tower?

A Not at that particular firing. This one when the parallelogram was in, it was subject to going off at any time, and if it did go off, there was no way to stop it unless you could run off and get it and rip off the magazine. Otherwise it would go until the magazine was exhausted.

Q And about how many rounds was in that magazine?

A Oh, I have forgotten, about 100 to 150. The magazine was about yay big, but there were a lot of them, seemed like 10 million to me.

- Q When this particular 20 millimeter gun went off, where were you at the time?
  - A I was up about two-thirds of the way up the mast.
  - Q About how tall was that mast?
- A I don't know, probably 65 feet, 70 feet. I was up there for better visibility. And to continue, these 20 millimeter guns had done so much damage to the ships they were on early in the war because they were a very hard gun to control. If you were in the harness wearing them and you happened to slip, there was no way you could get back on your feet again because the thing was making so much vibration and they frequently kept going.

she had one of the primitive methods that they put on to stop this. They had two cans, one that controlled the elevation and the other one controlled the horizontal train, and theoretically these could be set so that there was no part of the ship that you could hit directly with your fire. That was set by approximation in the yard, but until after you had made your trials, done your test firing, you were never sure of the setting and the thing never worked very well anyhow.

Later on they built what was called a birdcage or mousetrap built out of pipe with all sorts of weird curving.

1	
1	
•	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5	
6	
0	
7	100
'	
8	
0	
9	-
9	
10	
10	
11	
10	
12	
13	
10	
14	
'-	
15	
16	
	-
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
	1
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

So that no matter where the gun barrel was, it couldn't be depressed or swung where it would hit the ship. We didn't have that.

I was at the mast and the gun went off and went the full round in the magazine. And I was much thinner then, fortunately.

People who saw it say it missed me by about that far.

- Q Indicating about ten inches to a foot?
- A I would say about that.

I was making love to the mast and was almost out to the other side.

Looking down the barrel, it looked like it was coming right toward me.

In the course of this, it did some small damage to the ship. The cams had never been given their final setting. And I think, if I remember right -- it is a long time ago -- I know we shot the antenna down.

But I think it hit the insullator at the end, something of that sort.

But outside of that, there was no damage from it.

- Q Later on they corrected that problem on the ship?
- A I believe. If I remember right, she had the bird cage thing put on her when she got down to San Diego.
- Q And in reviewing the action report and accompanying documents, I noted that one of the admirals in

the area had cast some doubt upon the fact that you had engaged in battle with an enemy submarine; do you recall the admiral making that assertation?

A I remember our meeting with Admiral Fletcher, who was quite disparaging at the time we had the meeting, perhaps understandably so.

Now, did he give you any factual reason why he believed that there were no enemy submarines involved in that attack?

A The only thing that I know was that at the point where we had been screaming for ammunition for several days without results from shore side people, as communications officer and with Ron's permission, I originated a message to the commander and chief of the Pacific Fleet in Pearl Harbor. It was a very -- well, I wouldn't write it today. I was younger then.

But it was quite a nasty message asking why in thunder we couldn't get any help out there and get some ammunition. I coated this and sent it with a carbon copy so it would be equivalent to full copies to everybody on the coast, I guess.

I did see the message that came back immediately from the commander in chief of the Pacific Fleet, Admiral King, with a carbon copy to me asking Admiral Fletcher what was going on out there and why he had not been told of an action taking place.

I saw the carbon copy that went back from Admiral Fletcher to him where he said there was no

submarine.

2 3

no submarines in the area."

4

This was quite a strong statement.

5 6

Coast at that time, I could see why he might have said that.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

But in view of the political climate on the West And having said that he would not deviate from it.

I think he said "there were no submarines, repeat,

Are there any other indications in that Action Report other than your report and Captain Hubbard's report that indicate that, indeed, the targets that were discovered were submarines?

Well, there were statements taken from all the crew. I see they are here.

I am sure some of the crew had mentioned that because some of them, I know, sighted the periscope.

The sonar men who, in each case, two or three of them, were very definite in that they had the submarine on their sonar. And we did have, as I said, communications from the blimps.

Is thee any other record that is kept as part 0 of the action report, any testing or any read outs on equipment that also would indicate that the targets were submarines?

Yes. Our attack recorder -- our attack director made a recording, an electronic recording which anyone competent in reading that could use to determine what type of target it was. Because it would give the type of echo, its strength, general characteristics, and you could plot

courses and distances from it.

It would also contain a record of an attack.

Our recordings were all turned over to Admiral

Fletcher at that conference. We did not get them back.

He called a man in on his staff to interpret them. The man was not a ASW man. He was, I believe, a three-striper or four-striper, but he was in Naval Aviation. And they were using a recorder, perhaps similar to this one.

I never saw it, but he said he was not real competent to evaluate this.

There were no submarine people there or any submarine people there at the time who could.

So there was no one there who could look at that and say definitely it was a submarine.

I do know that these reports were later analyzed in, I believe, San Diego or, perhaps, San Francisco by another, I believe, Admiral Braisted, something like that.

And he entered his comments concerning some, I think,

19 runs.

He mentioned that some of these runs were mushy; the echos were mushy and could have been due to fish or knuckles in the water, bubbles, but that two may have been submarines.

Well, that was sort of like saying a girl is a little bit pregnant. If the two may have been submarines, it is more than likely that the other 16 or 17 may have been submarines.

```
THE COURT: We'll take a 15-minute recess.
1
                  (Recess.)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

THE COURT: All right. We are back in session.

The witness has taken the stand. Please state your name again for the record, sir. You are still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Thomas Moulton.

THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Peterson.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you.

Now, you had mentioned earlier that there was some aspect of the political climate which I believe influenced Admiral Fletcher's conclusion; what was that?

A Well, I am sure that without that it would have been — at about that time either just before this action or just after, I think it was just after, you had the shelling of a refinery here somewhere in the Los Angeles area, I believe just up the coast.

It was written up in Reader's Digest a couple of months ago, three months ago.

At that time it caused quite a local panic, so I am told, and the press so indicated, and everybody on the West Coast apparently started a bunch of rumors, became quite upset about it.

I know that the commanders of the various areas received a lot of inquiries from shoreside people. It wasn't a panic, but it was getting into that stage.

It got so bad that I remember in Oregon that the papers there, there were several articles. I saw one of them asking people to keep quiet, not start rumors and so forth, and I am quite sure that this was well known to all the commanders up and down the coast, and it was to their

43/2

**44f** 5

advantage, at least publicly, not to admit that there were some marines in the area and, of course, once Admiral Fletcher had sent this message to Admiral King, knowing how the Navy works, I am sure he wouldn't back down from it.

And later on in the summer did you again receive any indication that in fact the submarine or the two submarines had been sunk in the area?

A It wasn't that summer. It was some time after that.

I was traveling up the Columbia River on a merchant ship and was talking with the pilot who came from somewhere in that area, I believe, in that Sand Beach or Silver Sand Beach. And I happened to discuss this action with him.

He had been living there at the time -MR. FLYNN: I'll object to this.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

Q BY MR. PETERSON: During the incident with the attack on the submarines, in your opinion, how did Captain Hubbard react?

A Well, he ran a very competent, extremely competent attack throughout the thing. He did a very fine job.

Q And after the incident with the submarines in May of 1943 did the PC 815 continue on its voyage?

A We went back to Astoria for replenishment of ammunition. And at that time there was a new carrier, the first of the Kaiser carriers that came down from the Seattle area. And we went up to meet her and we picked her up in Port Angeles.

We were detailed to escort her because she was very valuable, as the first one and it was felt at the time

1 that there might be grave damage from submarines and from 2 mining in the area. 3 We escorted her to San Francisco where she took 4 on stores and then escorted her from there to San Diego. 5 And at some point did you then leave the PC 815? 6 Yes; sometime after we completed that escort, 7 I would think within a week or two -- I don't remember 8 exactly. 9 And then from the PC 815 where did you then go? 10 I was sent to the Amphibious Center in Virginia 11 and took command -- well, I went through the training program 12 and took command of my first LST there. 13 And did you see action at the battle of Saipan? Q 14 Yes. That was the last one. A 15 Q What happened there briefly? 16 A Well, we were stranded on the -- I had been the 17 first ship to land there in the action on D-Day. And we were 18 stranded on the reef for about 10 days. 19 During that time the Japanese used to run dawn 20 and dusk attacks on us. 21 We were badly strafed and bombed and one thing 22 and another and quite badly damaged. I lost a substantial 23 part of my crew there. 24 MR. PETERSON: Nothing further from the witness, Your 25 Honor. 26 THE COURT: You may cross-examine. 27 MR. FLYNN: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FLYNN:

Q Sir, you have a rather remarkable memory after all of these years. I take it before your testimony today you refreshed your memory with regard to the Action Report which you have in front of you there?

A I had a chance to skim through it; that is all, sir.

1	Q Did you skim through any other documents such
2	as the conference report of Admiral Pletcher?
3	A If that was his letter of transmittal from this
4	I saw it, yes.
5	Q You did see the letter of transmittal from
6	Admiral Fletcher?
7	A Yes, I did, sir.
8	Q Is that attached to the exhibit in front of
9	you?
10	A I think that is where I saw it. It doesn't
11	seem to be in here.
12	Q Now that is the letter that summarizes the
13	results of the conference that Admiral Fletcher held with
14	regard to this action?
15	A Yes that is the one I am looking for, but I
16	so far haven't come across it.
17	Q And that is where Admiral Fletcher stated
18	that the action, in fact, never took place?
19	A Something to that effect, yes, sir.
20	Q And you can't find it in that exhibit?
21	A I am still looking, but I am also listening
22	to you at the same time.
23	Q Now, the conference report in the transmittal
24	of Admiral Fletcher would have been classified information
25	and Secret at that period of time; is that correct?
26	A This entire record and everything pertaining
27	to it was classified Secret at that time. It since, I see he
28	has been declassified.

1	Q So, Admiral Fletcher's notation that no such
2	action ever took place would have been secret at that period
3	of time; is that correct?
4	A Yes, it would have been. I think it said
5	something in there instructing that it be forwarded only by
6	registered letter if I remember right.
7	Q So if the political climate at the time in
8	1943 was one of fear on the West Coast, it would have been
9	more helpful to that climate to disclose Admiral Fletcher's
10	conclusions that there were no submarines; is that correct?
11	A I am not sure I follow you. It would have
12	been very helpful for him to insist that he had none, yes.
13	Q But it would have been helpful to disclose
14	his findings to the public that there had been no submarines?
15	A No, I don't think so, because I believe the
16	entire action was secret at that time.
17	Q So, the public didn't know about it one way or
18	the other?
19	A Other than people who lived along the shore
20	and saw and heard the things.
21	Q When did you last see Admiral Fletcher's
22	report?
23	A I saw it this morning. I glanced at it. That
24	is why I am trying to find it because I would recognize it
25	if I saw it. I had not seen it before I came out her.
26	As I recall, it was dated June 23rd, and that
27	was after I left the PC. I don't find it here, but I am sure
28	it is in here.

\$6f

1	Q Who first contacted you, sir, with regard to
2	your appearance as a witness?
3	A Who first contacted me?
4	A Mr I think it is Wittle, Tom Wittle.
5	Q And approximately how long ago was that?
6	A Last week or early, I think no, last week.
7	I am sorry.
8	Q Had you had any prior contact with any
9	representatives of the Church of Scientology at any time in
10	the last three or four years?
11	A No, sir, not in over 15 years.
12	Q And they found you in Maine?
13	A Yes.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	Q	Now, did you know L. Ron Hubbard as a good story-
2	teller?	
3	A	As a good storyteller?
4	Q	A person who told stories.
5	A	What sort of stories?
6	Q	Well, did you know him to have any reputation
7	at the time	you knew him during World War II as a good
8	storyteller	?
9	A	Do you mean jokes and things of that sort?
10	Q	No; stories about incidents that had taken place
11	in his life	time.
12	A	No. He was very reticent, as a matter of fact.
13	Q	Did you consider him to be a very honest person?
14	A	Indeed.
15	Q	And he told you that he had injured his eyes from
16	a flash bur	n when a gun unexpectedly went off; is that
17	correct?	
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Did he tell you when that took place?
20	A	It would have been sometime before Pearl Harbor.
21	I don't kno	w. I seem to remember he told me it was it
22	was on a de	stroyer, but I wouldn't swear to it after all of
23	this time.	
24	Q	But you are clear that he injured his eyes from
25	the flash b	urn of a gun?
26	A	I am clear that he said he did, yes.
27	Q	When you were serving with Mr. Hubbard did you

ever see his medical records with regard to his eye condition

in 1942 and 1943?

A No, sir.

I would not have seen him after I left the ship.

I have never seen him since then.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, I --

Q BY MR. FLYNN: I'm talking about the period now when you were with him.

A When I was with him, I don't remember him going to a doctor at all.

MR. PETERSON: I object to Mr. Flynn showing the witness something. I have no idea what he is showing the witness.

THE COURT: Just show counsel what it is before you show it to the witness.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, the document that is being shown to the witness appears to be a Veterans Administration document from 1947.

MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, let's hear the question.

He is showing the witness the document and pointing to things for the witness to look at before he has even asked his question. I think it is irregular. Why doesn't he ask the question rather than putting medical records in front of the witness who isn't a trained doctor?

THE COURT: I don't see any big question. He has asked him the question.

I'll overrule the objection. 1 You can answer whether he ever told you that. THE WITNESS: He did not, no. 3 This was a hospitalization in February of '42 4 5 which was before I knew him. He did not -- it does not say in here that that was the original injury. This could have 6 7 been an aggrevation of an old one. 8 It says ". . . excessive tropical sunlight," but it doesn't say this was the cause of his original 9 10 trouble. 11 BY MR. FLYNN: Does it say anything about a 0 12 flash burn from a gun? 13 A No, sir. 14 And with regard to his actual chronological 15 medical history, in May, 1942 this officer states, "While 16 acting as intelligence officer for the Asiatic Fleet he 17 exposed his eyes to strong sunlight and has had to wear 18 tainted glasses ever since." 19 Did he ever tell you that? 20 No, sir, he did not. 21 MR. PETERSON: I am not sure I understand what "tainted 22 glasses" are. 23 THE COURT: For the record, it is what it is. I 24 assume --25 BY MR. FLYNN: He told you that --26 THE COURT: He probably means "tinted." 27 Go ahead. 28 Q BY MR. FLYNN: He told you that he was injured

15	그는 그렇게 하지 않는 것이 있는 그는 그가 되었다고 있는 것이 살아가 하는 가는 가는 가는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다는 것이 없는 것이 없다면 없다.
1	by a Japanese machine gun?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q When was that, sir, that he told you that?
4	THE COURT: When did he tell him, or when did he tell
5	him he was injured?
6	Q BY MR. FLYNN: First, when did Captain Hubbard
7	tell you that he was injured by a Japanese machine gun?
8	A This was while we were in Miami which would have
9	been in the fall of '42. It was the fall of 1942.
10	Q Is that
11	A While we were in Miami.
12	Q Did he describe the circumstances under which
13	he was injured by the Japanese machine gun?
14	A Yes, in some detail; not entirely.
15	Q What did he tell you?
16	A That he had been in Soerabaja at the time the
17	Japanese came in or in the area of Soerabaja and that he
18	spent some time in the hills in back of Soerabaja after
19	the Japanese had occupied it.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	Q Now, Soerabaja was where, sir?
2	A That is a port on the north part of Java in
3	the Dutch East Indies.
4	Q So you understood from Captain Hubbard that
5	he had been in Java fighting the Japanese and was hit by
6	machine gun fire?
7	A Not quite as you put it. He had been landed,
8	so he told me in Java from a destroyer named the Edsel and
9	had made his way across the land to Soerabaja, and that is
0	when the place was occupied. When the Japanese came in,
1	he took off into the hills and lived up in the jungle for
2	some time until he made an escape from there.
3	THE COURT: Sounds like the South Pacific, the
4	"Tales from the South Pacific" by Michener.
5	THE WITNESS: I know of it. I haven't read it.
6	THE COURT: Anyway, go ahead.
17	Q BY MR. FLYNN: When did he tell that this
18	took place, Captain Moulton?
19	A He was there apparently on the 8th of December
20	which corresponded to December 7th, the other side of the
21	dateline.
22	Q December 8th
23	A At that period when the Japanese occupied all
24	of the Dutch East Indies.
25	Q That would have been in 1941 or '42?
26	A '41.
27	Q So this would have been
28	B Did T may 1422 T should have said 141

1
2
3
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
1.1
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

Core o um	AATT M					YEST TO SERVICE
ALM M.	COURT:	W B C	**	DARTI	HATH	027
	COULT	44 67 50	LILELL	I W CALA	HEAL	

THE WITNESS: That was at the time of Pearl Harbor.

Pearl Harbor was the 7th on one side and the 8th on the other side.

The Japanese came in - I was not in that area at the time so I don't know the exact time that they occupied Soerabaja, but it was within a day or two after that.

- Q BY MR. FLYNN: So he told you he was in the South Pacific in Soerabaja when the Japanese bombed Pearl Harbor?
- A That is correct. He had been landed by the Edsel and she was sunk shortly after that. He was, as far as I know, the only person that ever got off the Edsel because he wasn't aboard when it happened. She was sunk within a few days after that.
  - Q And Captain Hubbard told you all this?
- A Yes, sir, but I also know that she was sunk. She is carried in the records as having been sunk with all hands.
  - Q And all hands were lost except Captain Hubbard?
  - A He was ashore at the time.
- Q And that is when he was hit by the machine gun fire?
- A Some time during his chasing up and around through the jungle before he made his escape.
- Q Now, when you were working with Captain Hubbard, did you ever look at any of his records with regard to his

48f

1	military history prior to the time that you met him?
2	A No, sir, I would have no access to them.
3	Q So you believed Captain Hubbard at the time?
4	A Certainly. I had no reason not to.
5	Q Did he tell you exactly where he was hit by
6	the machine gun fire?
7	A In the back, in the area of the kidneys,
8	I believe on the right side.
9	Q And did he tell you what caliber machine gun
10	it was?
11	A No, sir, he did not.
12	Q And it damaged his urinary system?
13	A Somewhere in the urinary system. I know he had
14	a great bit of difficulty in urinating.
15	Q And did he tell you how long he remained
16	hiding in the hills with these machine gun wounds before he
17	was removed from the combat area?
18	A I know that he told me he had made his escape
19	eventually to Australia. I don't know just when it was.
20	He apparently, he and another chap, sailed a life raft, I
21	believe, to near Australia where they were picked up by a
22	British or Australian destroyer.
23	Q And that would have been late 1941, early 1942:
24	A I would imagine it would have to have been
25	early '42 because it would take some time from December 7.
26	
27	

1	Q Now, Captain Hubbard gave you all of these
2	details that you are giving the court today; is that
3	correct?
4	A Well, I have no other knowledge except what he
5	told me.
6	Q And did he tell you how far he sailed the raft?
7	A He told me he was picked up again, I'm
8	trusting my memory but it was on the order of 75 miles
9	off Australia.
10	I know it was under 100, but it was somewhere
11	around 75 because it was a remarkable piece of navigation.
12	Q And had he received any treatment for his wounds
13 -	during this period of time?
14	A This, I do not know.
15	He said that he was very ill in the jungle with
16	the injuries. That is all I know.
17	Q With machine gun bullets in his back?
18	A I don't know if the bullets were in there or had
19	passed through or what. I never saw the scars.
20	Q Now, did he tell you whether he was an
21	intelligence officer at that time or what type of duty post
22	he had?
23	A No. He mentioned he had been on the Edsel. And
24	that was all, and that he had previously served in other
25	vessels.
26	Q You believed this entire story; is that correct?
27	A I had no reason to disbelieve it, sir.
28	O Now let me show you exhibit \$00-II and mek you

Now, let me show you exhibit 500-II and ask you

1	if you are familiar with this type of Naval document called
2	"Report of Compliance With Orders."
3	A This is a standard form, yes, sir.
4	Q You are familiar with those forms; is that
5	correct?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Now, that relates to the Lieutenant J.G. Lafayett
8	Hubbard; is that correct?
9	A That is correct.
10	Q And it shows that he was ordered to Australia
1	on November 24, 1941; right, and that he left on December 8,
12	1941 from the United States?
13	A Let me see.
14	Received the orders on the 24th and he was
15	dispatched on December 8th; however
16	Q He arrived in Brisbane, Australia when, Captain
17	Moulton?
18	A It says January 16; however, this could be
19	meaningless. It is not to be relied on.
20	If something you said just now is true, said he
21	was an intelligence officer, I believe, if that is so, this
22	would be meaningless.
23	Q That could be false?
24	A Not false, but an intelligence officer, as far
25	as I know, has all sorts of spurious letters stating where
26	he is sent to, when he got there.
27	I did not know he was an intelligence officer.

But if he was, this would be meaningless.

1 Now, what about this document, Captain Moulton; 2 do you recognize what that is? 3 MR. PETERSON: Can we have --4 MR. FLYNN: 500-JJ marked, "Confidential" at the 5 bottom. 6 A Yes. 7 Do you recognize what that is? 8 This is a Navy communication stating that there 9 is nothing available for him there and that he is ordered 10 to return to Com 12. I think that was the West Coast. 11 It says, "Lieutenant J.G. ordered returned via 12 Chaumont; report to Com 12; unsatisfactory for any available 13 assignment." 14 A That would be routine wording, yes. 15 0 What is the date of that, Captain Moulton? 16 This is dated -- let me see -- 16 February, '42. A 17 0 That would be roughly a month after he was shot 18 in the back with a Japanese machine gun? 19 Well, it would be anywhere from six to eight weeks A 20 on up, yes. If we assume that happened in early December. 21 Let me show you this document from the United 22 States Naval Attache, Melbourne, Australia, dated February 14, 23 1942, exhibit 500-KK. 24 A Uh-huh. 25 Now, do you see the part that -- let me read 26 part of it to you. 27 "The subject officer arrived in 28 Brisbane via SS President Polk. He reported

and asked for permission to leave the SS

President Polk until a vessel offering a more
direct route to his destination was available.

I authorized him to remain in Brisbane for
future transportation to his destination. My
assuming unauthorized authority and attempting
to perform duties for which he has no
qualifications, he became the source of much
trouble."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

orders to report to the commanding officer USS
Chaumont" -- that's C-h-a-u-m-o-n-t -- "for
passage to the United States. And upon arrival
report to the commandant 12th Naval District
for future assignment. This officer is not
satisfactory for independent duty assignment.
He is garrulous and tries to give impressions
of his importance. He also seems to think
that he has unusual ability in most lines.
These characteristics indicate that he will
require close supervision for satisfactor
performance of any intelligence duty."

Did you see that?

A Does it say when -- will you give me just a moment to read this?

Sure. Q This is dated, as I see, Pebruary 14. It says he arrived in Brisbane on the President Polk, but it doesn't say where he came from. 

1	Q Well, doesn't the other report of compliance
2	with roders indicate that he came from the United States,
3	Captain Moulton?
4	A Not necessarily. It doesn't mention the
5	Polk.
6	Q Do you know whether the President Polk was
7	a life raft?
8	A No, but I don't know where she came from.
9	It merely says he was on her on arrival in Brisbane.
10	If she was on that run, she probably made a lot of ports
11	in Australia. He may have ridden coastwise on her. I can't
12	tell from this. I was not there.
13	Q I take it that you like L. Ron Hubbard.
14	A I served with him. I liked him very well at
15	the time I served with him. It is a great many years ago.
16	Q And rather than believe those documents, you'd
17	believe what Mr. Hubbard said?
18	A I never believe or disbelieve them. I merely
19	pointed out that they do not state that he came on to Brisban
20	from the States.
21	Q Does it say anything about being wounded by
22	Japanese machine gun fire?
23	A No, sir.
24	Q It states that he is garrulous and unsatisfacto
25	for any assignment.
26	MR. LITT: Objection; the document speaks for itself.
27	It is argumentative.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, I take it when you were 1 serving with him on the PC 815, you believed the machine gun 2 story? 3 MR. LITT: Objection; asked and answered. 4 THE WITNESS: You mean of his being wounded? 5 MR. LITT: This is the third time. 6 THE COURT: Yes, it has already been indicated. 7 BY MR. FLYNN: Now, you went from an anti-8 submarine warfare vessel to an amphibious vessel, an LST? 9 Yes, sir. 10 So after the incident involving you and 11 L. Ron Hubbard on the PC 815 in May 1943, you never saw 12 anymore duty in connection with anti-submarine warfare 13 vessels: is that correct? 14 Let me see. No, I did not, sir. 15 You were transferred out of that duty right 16 after that incident? 17 No it was, oh, I would say two or three weeks 18 later. I had a request transfer for some time. I had 19 taken it, as I told you, temporarily as a relief executive 20 officer. 21 Now, so, I take it from the time where you 22 23 dropped all these depth charges with the PC 815 and Mr. Hubbard, you never dropped any depth charges again on 24 any supposed submarine contacts? 25 26 That is correct. 27 0 Now, I believe you testified that you first

heard the sonar contact and you evaluated it and determined

1	it was a sub	marine?
2	A	That is correct.
3	Q	Would you turn to page 2 of L. Ron Hubbard's -
4	A	Page 2? Yes, sir.
5	Q	L. Ron Hubbard's report of this action.
6		Now, in the second paragraph you find
7	"Attack One"	; is that correct?
8	A	Paragraph 2, no, sir. Are we looking at
9	different th	ings?
10	Q	Page 2.
11	A	We seem to have a different copy or something.
12	Q	We sure do.
13		This copy was provided to me, Your Honor, by
14	plaintiff's	counsel.
15		I see, simply a question of different
16	pagination a	t the bottom of one page with a handwritten
17	number and a	typewritten page 2 at the top.
18	A	Oh, I see. You didn't make that clear. I
19	guess that i	s why I made a mistake.
20	Q	I guess I didn't. Typewritten page 2.
21	<b> </b>	Correct.
22	Q	You see where "Attack One" begins and
23	concludes?	
24	λ	Yes, sir.
25	Q	And then right next to that, at this point
26	you have dro	pped all but three of your depth charges; is
27	that correct	?
28	A	Frankly I don't remember. We had dropped

49/3

1	some of them. I don't remember how many we had left. We had
2	very few to start with. I don't remember the count.
3	THE COURT: Are those the ash cans or K guns?
4	THE WITNESS: Well, the K guns fired ash cans and we
5	also rolled them.
6	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, incidentally, in your Naval
7	career was this the only time that you claimed you sunk
8	a submarine?
9	A The only time I claimed I sunk a submarine?
10	It is the only time I sunk a submarine, yes, sir.
11	Q is it the only time you ever rolled ash cans
12	to sink a submarine?
13	A I rolled dummy ash cans on tame submarines for
14	a period of two or three weeks from morning until night
15	and in the night, also.
16	Q So this is the first time that you ever
17	suspected a live, enemy submarine and rolled ash cans?
18	A I had rolled them on tame submarines.
19	Q So this is the one and only time that you have
20	ever rolled ash cans on supposed enemy submarines, this
21	incident?
22	THE COURT: I assume it was your men that rolled them
23	and you ordered them?
24	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
25	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, you said in the third
26	paragraph after you claim that you found or made solid
27	contact with a submarine, the notation that you have rolled
28	all but three of your depth charges as indicated by the

next page at this point.

"No one, including the commanding officer, could readily credit the existence of an enemy submarine here on the steamer track, and all sound men now on the bridge were attempting to argue the echo ranging equipment and chemical recorder out of such a fantastic idea."

A That is correct.

50f

1	Q Now, this was after you had made the solid
2	contact that you testified about; is that correct?
3	A Well, using your words, I think you are twisting
4	just a bit.
5	To use an analogy, if you came down a dose of
6	gonorrhea, it would be difficult to credit it, but the
7	evidence is immutable.
8	This is what happened here; who would expect a
9	submarine off the West Coast of California? But you can't
0	argue with a machine, your hearing, and your training.
1	Q Are you testifying that these are my words, or
2	Captain Hubbard's words about a fantastic idea?
3	A I just wanted to clarify the way you were wording
4	it. I didn't know what you were saying.
5	Q All I did was write the words of Captain
6	Hubbard
7	A We'll try it again and I'll try to answer.
8	Q Do you recall testifying that you first made sonar
9	contact; you evaluated it; you determined it was a
20	submarine and rolled depth charges?
21	A Certainly.
22	Q How many depth charges did you roll?
23	A I don't remember. It would be in the attack
	[2] : [1] : [2] : [2] : [2] : [3] :

tack report. It would be somewhere in the gunnery report.

This statement of Captain Hubbard appears after attack one concluded; is that correct?

A Yes.

24

25

26

27

28

And that is where he says, "No one will argue

for such a fantastic idea"? 1 As I told you, the analogy. 2 Let me point out that we never would have rolled 3 the first ash cans as a matter of routine unless we knew what 4 we were rolling it on. We didn't go around rolling them on 5 porpoises. 6 That seems to be the question, Captain Moulton. Q 7 Whose question? A 8 Now, after you did this first attack on this 9 fantastic idea, there was three depth charges left on the 10 ship; is that correct, on page 3? 11 A Is that typewritten three? 12 Typewritten three. 13 Q A Where does it give the amount -- oh, I see. 14 Only three depth charges were now left on the 0 15 16 ship? At that point, yes. A 17 "The next three attacks were therefore 18 parsimonious"; do you see that? 19 A ". . . with an eye to harass the submarine"; yes. 20 21 Now, one of the vessels that came onto the area, the SC 537, refused to even participate; didn't she? 22 She participated very poorly, as I mentioned 23 24 earlier. 25 She refused to cooperate because she didn't 26 believe the soundings; is that correct? 27 I cannot say it was for any reason. All I know A is her actions were not consonant with the orders that were 28

given to her. 1 Why she did it, I cannot say. 2 Did Captain Hubbard exceed his orders when he 3 made the initial firings of the ash cans? 4 Absolutely not. 5 This is war time. This is not playing games. 6 You come across a submarine and he doesn't 7 identify, you sink him if possible. 8 You don't need orders from anybody for that. 9 He didn't exceed his orders by firing first on 10 the submarine? 11 A No. He would have been very remiss if he hadn't 12 13 done so. Would you turn to page 18, typewritten 18? Q 14 Yes, sir. A 15 Q In the last paragraph just above the signature 16 of L. Ron Hubbard, do you see the statement, ". . . although 17 exceeding its orders originally by attacking the first 18 contact" --19 A Yes, sir. 20 -- "this vessel feels only that it has done the 21 job for which it was intended and stands ready to do that 22 job again." 23 A Yes, sir. 24 Now, did L. Ron Hubbard -- did you help prepare 25 26 this report with L. Ron Hubbard? 27 Yes, part of it, yes. This last paragraph, I don't recall, though. 28

1 About his exceeding his orders? I still don't understand it. I think he was 2 3 trying to perhaps explain something, but what, I have no idea. 5 You do not need orders to attack a submarine 6 once you identify it. 7 O You never saw any further anti-submarine warfare 8 duty after this incident? 9 MR. LITT: Objection. Asked and answered. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 BY MR. FLYNN: Do you recall seeing an object 12 floating in the water that you attack with, I believe, your 13 40-millimeter guns? 14 Yes. I remember that. 15 That turned out to be a floating log? 16 Well, we thought perhaps -- we eventually saw 17 it, yes. We didn't know what it was when we opened fire. 18 Do you recall on page 11 taking an oil slick Q 19 but finding that the slick was too thin for sample, 20 samples? 21 No. That, I don't recall. A 22 Q That is on page 11. 23 A Typewritten? 24 Typewritten. Q 25 A What paragraph? 26 Q The fourth paragraph from the top. 27 Oh, I see. Yes. I see that. A 28 Q Do you recall that?

1	Q And that was the first shakedown cruise of
2	PC 815?
3	A She was still on shakedown, yes.
4	Q So you had pretty much an inexperienced crew
5	at that time; is that correct?
6	A That is completely incorrect.
7	Q Well, had the crew seen any duty together prior
8	to that point in time?
9	A Together? No, except perhaps by coincidence.
10	Some may have come from the same ship. We had a very, very
11	competent group of people on that ship, one of the best
12	crews I have sailed with.
13	Q How long were you with them?
14	A I was with them, as you know, for what, two
15	months, three months.
16	Q And in the very first night of the shakedown
17	cruise you had
18	THE COURT: This is going to be argumentative,
19	Counsel.
20	THE WITNESS: The ship had been to sea several times
21	before that.
22	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Captain Moulton, after you
23	left the ship, it proceeded down to the San Diego area?
24	A After I left the ship, I think that I told
25	you, I am trusting my memory. I believe I left her in
26	San Diego, but I am not certain.
27	Q Well, did you
28	A I left her either in San Francisco or San Diego.

1	I believe San Diego.
2	Q So the birdcage that you testified about with
3	regard to that gun was put on in San Diego; is that correct?
4	A It was supposed to have been put on at the
5	first yard availability, so I would imagine it was done
6	there. It was not on here at the time of our action. She
7	still had the cans.
8	Q Now, shortly after this incident, in July
9	1943 do you recall whether Mr. Hubbard was relieved of
0	command for firing on the Mexican coast?
1	A That did not occur while I was on her, sir. I
2	wouldn't know.
13	Q You don't know anything about that?
14	A No.
15	Q And do you know anything about Mr. Hubbard's
16	subsequent Naval career after you served with him?
17	A No, I never saw him again. I have talked with
18	him, but it has not been in 15 or 20 years.
19	Q And do you know whether or not subsequent
20	fitness reports were made on Mr. Hubbard as lacking the
21	essential qualifies
22	MR. PETERSON: I object to this. He's testified he
23	has no knowledge.
24	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
25	THE WITNESS: This covers a period of time I don't
26	know, so I wouldn't want to make a comment.
27	Q BY MR. FLYNN: This is roughly two or three
28	months

52f

1	THE COURT: I have already sustained the objection
2	to the things that happened after.
3	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Who paid your way out here,
4	Captain Moulton?
5	A My expenses are being reimbursed by
6	Mr. Peterson's firm, but I don't know who bought it.
7	Actually, it hasn't been paid. It is on a credit card.
8	Q So you came out here voluntarily?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Now you and Mr. Hubbard were never given
11	credit for sinking or damaging any Japanese submarines;
12	were you?
13	A I don't know. There is something quite odd
14	about that and I have never gotten to the bottom of it. I
15	believe we were.
16	Q Well, Admiral Fletcher in his report never
17	gave you credit?
18	A I am talking about the Navy Department in
19	Washington. We were allowed, so I was advised, to wear two
20	battle stars on our American Theater ribbon which I wore as
21	long as I was in the service. I was told that they had
22	been allowed by Washington.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	[2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [3] [3] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4
1	Q Now, you saw this report of Admiral Fletcher
2	just before coming into the courtroom?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor.
5	I would request the production of that.
6	MR. PETERSON: It misstates testimony. He said he
7	saw a transmittal letter.
8	THE COURT: Whatever it was, let's produce it.
9	MR. PETERSON: The only thing I have is a copy of
10	some exhibits.
11	THE WITNESS: Let me take a little time to go
12	through that. I may
13	THE COURT: If it is part of that, let's not worry
14	about it.
15	THE WITNESS: I think that is where I saw it, but I am
16	not sure.
17	Can you give me a page number, sir, from your
18	file?
19	MR. FLYNN: I don't think it is in there, Captain Moulton
20	MR. PETERSON: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
21	I think this is what you are talking about.
22	MR. FLYNN: I would move I would offer this,
23	Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Well, he can mark it as an exhibit.
25	MR PETERSON: What number, Your Honor?
26	THE COURT: I guess 91.
27	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Is this the document you were
28	referring to marked "Secret" in the upper left-hand corner

and signed - would call second endorsement. So it is a part of the

letter transmittal.

Our report was endorsed -- first endorsement by somebody and then he was the second. And then it would go to someone else and subsequently it would end up and be sent back.

Yes. This is the letter. That is what I

MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Redirect?

MR. PETERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

12

13

14

15

16

1

3

7

9

10

11

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. PETERSON:

You mentioned that you had a Master Certificate; since the end of the war what has your last occupation been?

Except for a short period ashore where I A worked in engineering I have been working almost entirely in the Merchant Marine.

And were you involved in sailing ships off the Vietnam coast during the periods of the Vietnam conflict?

I was captain of ships throughout most of the Vietnam conflict.

> 0 Have you recently retired?

As of the 1st of this month officially. 2And during that period of time you have been sailing vessels?

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

work. Counsel said he was.

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And during the remainder
3	A When did you get out of the Navy?
4	A I would have to check; either December '46 or
5	January of '47.
6	Q From the period of time that you left PC 815
7	until the time you left the Navy did you serve basically
8	in combat duty?
9	A Yes, sir, essentially.
10	As a matter of fact, until the end of the war
11	it was all combat, in command.
12	Q In command of LST's?
13	A Two LST's and two repair ships one repair
14	ship. The second one was after the war.
15	Q In cross-examination and reviewing certain
16	documents that Mr. Flynn placed before you you indicated
17	that if Mr. Hubbard had been in intelligence, that those
18	particular documents wouldn't necessarily reflect the true
19	factual situation.
20	A That is my understanding, sir.
21	Q That is your understanding of what happened
22	with Officers who were involved in intelligence duty; is that
23	correct?
24	THE COURT: We are sure getting a lot of speculation.
25	I don't know that there was any evidence that he was in
26	intelligence work.
27	THE WITNESS: I don't know that he was in intelligence

1	
2	
A DE	
•	
3	
4	-
5	*
0	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
	. 1
11	
12	63
13	
10	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
10	
20	
21	
22	
00	
23	
24	
25	
20	
26	
27	

THE COURT: Counsel said he may have said he was.

THE WITNESS: I thought he said he was.

THE COURT: He may have said he was. Hubbard may have said that Hubbard was in intelligence work.

THE WITNESS: One of the documents that you were discussing said something about it too. I think one of those that you showed me; didn't it, the letter from Australia? You mentioned it.

MR. FLYNN: It is not my examination now.

MR. PETERSON: May I see those exhibits, Your Honor?

Q Captain Moulton, in your experience in the Navy did you have yourself personal independent knowledge of how records were kept regarding intelligence officers?

A Yes, sir.

Q It was based upon that personal knowledge that you were answering Mr. Flynn's question?

A That is correct.

Q And you said that it would not be unusual for certain dispatches or orders, items, to be put into a Naval officer's file if he were in intelligence operations; is that correct?

A This, we were told in instructions when we were taught how to keep Naval records. And as Captain, of course, I was ultimately responsible. It was common knowledge in the service.

Q Part of it was for security reasons so that a enemy intelligence couldn't ascertain where certain offers or certain people were conducting intelligence operations;

1 is that correct? A I would think also to avoid letting people 3 know that an intelligence officer was entering an area. THE COURT: What do you mean by "an intelligence 5 Is that somebody that is working with the office officer"? 6 of Naval Intelligence? 7 THE WITNESS: Or related. 8 THE COURT: Or OSS, or what? 9 THE WITNESS: I would say or any related organization. 10 THE COURT: What about just a lieutenant commander in 11 the Navy, a lieutenant JG? 12 THE WITNESS: If his classification or commission was 13 intelligence, it would be handled somewhat differently. 14 At the time I knew him, his classification was 15 DVG. 16 THE COURT: I thought he was aboard a destroyer. 17 THE WITNESS: DVG would cover that. 18 THE COURT: Was he an engineering officer, or what? 19 THE WITNESS: No; a deck officer with the DVG. 20 THE COURT: That has nothing to do with intelligence, 21 does it? 22 THE WITNESS: Maybe yes, maybe no. A lot of intelligence 23 officers carry DVG commissions. A lot of them carry 24 special IGS commissions. They were changed frequently. 25 I don't know, sir. It is speculation on my part only because 26 another counsel mentioned that he had been in intelligence. 27 I didn't know this.

MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, the line of questioning is

53f

because Mr. Flynn was using certain documents and the witness indicated that it wouldn't be inconsistent if the man was in Naval intelligence.

THE COURT: I think we are getting out in left field.

The witness isn't competent to testify about the way in which Naval intelligence records were kept. He has some knowledge about some things. A lot of it is based upon what somebody might have told him. That is way out in left field. We have no solid evidence that Mr. Hubbard was ever an intelligence officer with Naval Intelligence.

May be somewhere in archives, maybe

Mr. Hubbard will come in and tell us he was an intelligence

officer.

MR. PETERSON: May I approach the witness, and ask him one question?

THE COURT: You don't have to approach him.

MR. PETERSON: I would like for it to be off the record.

54£

1	MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, I don't understand what is goi
2	on now.
3	MR. PETERSON: It is rather than taking a break.
4	(Conference between plaintiff's counsel and
5	witness.)
6	THE WITNESS: May I continue that with you for just
7	a moment?
8	MR. PETERSON: No further questions.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, anything further?
0	
11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. FLYNN:
13	Q Captain Moulton, you never worked in Naval
14	intelligence; did you?
15	A I was assigned to intelligence duty at one
16	point in my career.
17	THE COURT: Well, do you have a CIC on board your ship
18	That is an intelligence operation; isn't it?
19	THE WITNESS: I was stationed intelligence officer
20	and chief of police for a time at the Bayonne Annex of the
21	Brooklyn Navy shipyards at which time I had charge of
22	security of the Europa when she was captured as a war prize,
23	and I conducted considerable investigation for the Navy,
24	as a result of which City officials were put in prison.
25	Q BY MR. FLYNN: And did you create false
26	records when you did that?
27	A That type of duty would not require any false
28	records, sir. I was there openly.

55f

1	Q So the answer is you didn't create any
2	false records?
3	A No, sir.
4	MR. FLYNN: No further questions.
5	MR. PETERSON: I think the record now stands
6	corrected, that he does understand and know Naval
7	intelligence.
8	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. PETERSON:
11	Q Is that correct?
12	A To some extent, sir.
13	MR. PETERSON: I have nothing further.
14	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
16	THE COURT: Do you have a witness that you can put
17	on in seven minutes, gentlemen?
18	MR. PETERSON: Not in seven minutes.
19	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	GENE F. TINCH,
2	called as a witness by the plaintiffs in rebuttal was
3	sworn and testified as follows:
4	THE CLERK: Take the witness stand, please. Please
5	state your name and spell your last name.
6	THE WITNESS: Gene F. Tinch, T-i-n-c-h.
7	THE CLERK: G-e-n-e?
8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. PETERSON:
12	Q Mr. Tinch, what is your occupation?
13	A Private investigator.
14	Q And with what firm are you associated?
15	A Tin Goosse Investigations.
16	Q In May of 1982 did you have a partner in that
17	business?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q And what was his name?
20	A Eliott Goossen, G-o-o-s-s-e-n.
21	Q And I presume that the name Tin Goosse is a
22	concoction of the name
23	THE COURT: Contraction.
24	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
25	Q BY MR. PETERSON: Of Mr. Goossen and Mr. Tinch
26	is that correct?
27	A That is correct.
28	Q And at that time were you also engaged in the

56f

1	profession of private investigation?
2	A Yes, I was.
3	Q And were you ever in the United States
4	Armed Services?
5	A Yes, I was.
6	Q And what branch?
7	A Navy.
8	Q And when did you serve in the United States
9	Navy?
10	A In 1944 to 1946.
11	Q And you weren't in submarine warfare by any
12	chance, were you?
13	A No I was not.
14	Q What was generally your duties?
15	THE COURT: Well does it have any relevance to this
16	lawsuit, Counsel?
17	MR. PETERSON: Background.
18	THE COURT: We don't need that. Let's just go on
19	with the lawsuit.
20	Q BY MR. PETERSON: After getting out of the Navy,
21	what was your occupation?
22	A You mean immediately after?
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

COLE 3		
1	Q	Well, were you with the Los Angeles Police
2	Department?	
3	A	Yes, I was.
4	Q	For how long?
5	A	Twenty years.
6	Q	And as part of your duties with the Los Angeles
7	Police Depart	ment were you a detective in investigations?
8	A	Yes, I was.
9	/ 0	And approximately how long during that period
10	of time?	
11	A	Fourteen, fifteen years.
12	Q	Now did you also work for the District
13	Attorney's of	fice as an investigator?
14	A	Yes, I did.
15	Q	Are you current — were you in May of 1982
16	licensed by t	he State of California as a private investigator?
17	A	Yes, I was.
18	Q	And was it in 1982 when you were first contacted
19	with reference	e to a matter involving Gerald Armstrong?
20	A	Some time in May, 1982.
21	Q	And who contacted you?
22	A	You did.
23	Q	And after that first contact did you and I
24	ever meet?	
25	A	Yes, we did.
26	Q	And approximately when was that?
27	A	Two or three days later after the first
28	initial conta	ct that you had made by telephone.

56/2 And prior to that contact that I had made to Q you by telephone had you ever spoken to me before? Yes, sir. When was that? Oh, some months back, as I recall. I don't know exactly. In 1982, however. Did it have anything to do with this matter? A No, it did not. 57f 

58f

28

1 And in our first meeting, what briefly was it 2 that was discussed? 3 Briefly, you explained to me that Mr. Armstrong 4 had been a member of the church and had been in charge 5 of the archives, had left the archives and that you 6 believed that he had removed some documents from the 7 archives at the time he left. 8 That you wanted my firm to conduct some kind 9 of an investigation to determine if he, in fact, had 10 those. 11 And after that briefing, did you submit to me 12 a plan of investigation? 13 A Yes, I did. 14 And approximately how much later was that? 15 Between one and two weeks, I believe. 16 And what was the plan of investigation that 17 you submitted regarding the documents? 18 My recommendation was that we do a sub rosa A 19 investigation. 20 0 What is a sub rosa investigation? 21 That is where you watch the suspect without 22 his knowledge or attempt to watch him without his knowledge. 23 24 25 26 27

the objection.

1	Q Anything else?
2	A No, that is all.
3	Q And do you recall approximately when the
4	surveillance on Mr. Armstrong began?
5	A June, the first week. I believe it was the
6	6th or 7th. I am not sure.
7	Q And prior to that time had you done any
8	investigation for me with reference to Gerald Armstrong?
9	A No, I had not.
0	Q Had your firm done anything?
1	A Yes, they had.
2	Q What had they done?
13	A They had done some background information,
14	checking some license numbers and post office to determine
15	where he was living, that sort of thing.
16	Q And when we met did you or myself have any idea
17	where Gerald Armstrong was living at the time?
18	3No.
19	Q Was that part of the investigation, to locate
20	where he was?
21	A Yes, that is correct.
22	Q Okay. And did I after receiving and
23	discussing your plan did I give you any instructions regardin
24	contact with Mr. Armstrong?
25	MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor.
26	THE COURT: Well, it is only received to show what
27	he did, I gather; so for that limited purpose, I'll overrule